BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD POLICY, RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)

POLICY, RESEARCH, AND)

POLICY, RESEARCH, AND)
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE)
COMMITTEE MEETING)

DATE AND TIME: MONDAY,

SEPTEMBER 15, 1997 9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING

ROOM

8800 CAL

CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C.

DRAIN, RPR, CSR

CERTIFICATE

NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 41670

APPEARANCES

MR. STEVEN R. JONES, CHAIRMAN

MR. DANIEL G. PENNINGTON, MEMBER

MR. PAUL RELIS, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. RALPH CHANDLER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY DIRECTOR

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. JEANNINE BAKULICH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

INDEX

PAGE NO.

CALL TO ORDER

5

EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS 6

ITEM 1: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF A POLICY THAT WILL ESTABLISH CRITERIA TO DETERMINE WHEN AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES AN APPLICANT FOR A BOARD CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN SHOULD BE CONSIDERED UNRELIABLE AND, THEREFORE, NOT AWARDED THE CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN.

ITEM 2: CONSIDERATION OF A BOARD POLICY FOR ASSISTING USE OF WASTE TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT AT COAL FIRED COGENERATION PLANTS AND CEMENT KILNS

STAFF PRESENTATION		34
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	46,	54
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION		49
ACTION		68

ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF REALLOCATION OF \$200,000 FROM THE FY 1997/98 CALIFORNIA TIRE RECYCLING FUND TO AUGMENT THE WASTE TIRE LEVEE REPAIR DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.

STAFF PRESENTATION	69
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	72
ACTION	72

ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE REPORT ANALYSIS OF EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS AND RESIDUAL BY-PRODUCTS FROM FACILITIES USING TIRES AS FUEL SUPPLEMENT.

STAFF PRESENTATION		9
PUBLIC TESTIMONY		25
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	22,	28
ACTION		34

ITEM 5: STATUS REPORT ON THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DEREGULATION OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 73

1	PUBLIC TESTIMONY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
2	ACTION	
3	ITEM 6: OPEN DISCUSSION	
4	ITEM 7: ADJOURNMENT	79
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

25

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1997

```
2
                           9:30 A.M.
 3
 4
               CHAIRMAN JONES: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND
      GENTLEMEN. WELCOME TO THE SEPTEMBER 15TH MEETING
 5
      OF THE POLICY, RESEARCH, AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
 6
 7
      COMMITTEE. JEANNINE, WOULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.
               THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
 8
 9
               MEMBER RELIS: HERE.
10
               THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON.
11
               MEMBER PENNINGTON: HERE.
12
               THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN JONES.
               CHAIRMAN JONES: HERE.
13
14
                    OKAY. IF ANYBODY WANTS TO SPEAK TO
      ANY ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS IN
15
      THE FRONT. IF YOU CAN BRING A COMPLETED FORM DOWN
16
      TO MS. BAKULICH, SHE WILL PUT IT IN AND WE CAN
17
18
      TALK.
19
                   WE HAVE A COUPLE OF ANNOUNCEMENTS ON
      THE AGENDA. THE FIRST ITEM HAS BEEN PULLED. WE
20
      GOT THE WORK BACK FROM -- THE LEGAL ANALYSIS BACK
21
22
      LATE AND WANTED TO GIVE IT A LITTLE MORE TIME SO
      THAT THE ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT COULD LOOK AT
23
24
      IT.
```

ITEM NO. 2 WILL BE HELD -- WILL BE --

- 1 THE FOURTH ITEM -- ACTUALLY THE THIRD ITEM WE HEAR.
- 2 ITEM NO. 4 WE'LL MOVE UP TO THE SECOND ITEM. IT'S
- 3 THE CONSIDERATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE REPORT,
- 4 "ANALYSIS OF EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS AND RESIDUAL
- 5 BY-PRODUCTS FROM FACILITIES USING TIRES AS A FUEL
- 6 SUPPLEMENT." THAT WILL BE THE SECOND ITEM HEARD --
- 7 OR ACTUALLY THE FIRST ITEM HEARD.
- 8 DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY EX PARTES?
- 9 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T
- 10 HAVE ANY EX PARTES, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO
- 11 CONGRATULATE THE CHAIRMAN AND MR. RELIS FOR FINALLY
- 12 11 MINUTES BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE LEFT TOWN
- 13 BEING -- GETTING THROUGH THE CONFIRMATION PROCESS,
- AND WE ARE GLAD THAT YOU ARE WELL ENSCONCED AND
- 15 HAVE A HAPPY ANOTHER FOUR YEARS.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: THANK YOU, MR.
- 17 PENNINGTON. I WAS ASLEEP AT THE TIME APPARENTLY
- 18 THAT IT HAPPENED.
- 19 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'M NOT SURE THAT
- THERE WEREN'T OTHERS THAT WERE ASLEEP AT THE TIME
- 21 TOO.
- 22 MEMBER RELIS: GLAD THAT'S OVER WITH.
- 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: I APPRECIATE THAT, MR.

24 CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU.

OKAY. MR. RELIS, DO YOU HAVE EX

- 1 PARTES? I HAVE A WHOLE LIST.
- 2 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I HAVE EX
- 3 PARTES VIA YOU. I HAVEN'T RECEIVED, I THINK, ANY
- 4 DIRECTLY, BUT I JUST RECEIVED FROM YOUR OFFICE A
- 5 PILE OF STUFF I HAVE NOT LOOKED THROUGH YET.
- 6 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YOU WANT TO EX PARTE
- 7 THEM ALL FOR US.
- 8 CHAIRMAN JONES: I AM GOING TO EX PARTE
- 9 THEM. I'LL EX PARTE THEM NOW. I HAD BOTH -- I
- 10 HAD A CONVERSATION WITH RICHARD DRURY, WHO IS THE
- 11 ATTORNEY FOR COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT,
- 12 ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON. HE SENT A FAX. WE'RE MISSING
- ONE PAGE OF IT, WHICH WAS THE INITIAL PAGE, BUT WE
- 14 HAVE A DOCUMENT FROM HIM.
- 15 WE HAVE LETTERS FROM JOHN LAFRENZ,
- 16 LESTER ROBERTS, MARYLIN MCCARTHY, JOHN MRACEK,
- 17 TIMOTHY BRAND, STEVE BLOOM, MORGAN HOFF, WENDY
- 18 MEZILIS, AND A PHONE CONVERSATION FROM STEVE AND
- 19 ARLENE JACOBS FROM CUPERTINO.
- 20 ALL OF THESE WERE IN OPPOSITION TO
- 21 WHAT IS ALREADY AN EXISTING POLICY. I HAVEN'T READ
- 22 THEM ALL. I JUST LOOKED REAL QUICK AT THEM. I
- 23 DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO READ THEM, BUT THEY'RE ALL

24	BEING	STATED	FOR	THE	RECORD.

25 THE -- A LOT OF THEM TALKED ABOUT

- 1 LAST MINUTE INFORMATION ABOUT THIS ITEM. I WILL
- 2 SAY, JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS,
- 3 THIS ITEM -- THE POLICY AGENDA WAS FORMALLY
- 4 NOTICED JUST LIKE IT ALWAYS IS. WHAT WE DID IS,
- 5 BECAUSE SOME OF THE, AS JESS USED TO CALL IT,
- 6 NORMAL SUSPECTS MAY NOT HAVE KNOWN ABOUT IT, WE
- 7 SENT OUT A SPECIAL LETTER TO THEM TO LET THEM KNOW
- 8 THAT THIS ITEM WAS BEING HEARD. SO THEY FELL
- 9 WITHIN THE -- YOU KNOW, THEY FELL WITHIN THE
- 10 NOTICING. THEY JUST TOOK EXCEPTION THAT THEY
- 11 WEREN'T NOTICED THE FIRST DAY, I GUESS. SO I
- 12 THINK -- I WAS THE ONE THAT DIRECTED THEM TO BE
- NOTIFIED SO THAT THEY HAD AN IDEA ABOUT THIS
- 14 THING.
- 15 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE
- 16 ONLY QUESTION WOULD BE IS WAS THIS NOTICED --
- 17 PROPERLY NOTICED TEN DAYS BEFORE THIS MEETING,

AND

- 18 I THINK IT WAS.
- 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: ABSOLUTELY.

ABSOLUTELY.

20 OKAY. OUR FIRST ITEM IS GOING TO

ΒE

- 21 ITEM NO. 4, MS. TRGOVCICH.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: CHAIRMAN JONES AND

- 23 MEMBERS, GOOD MORNING. I'M CAREN TRGOVCICH,
 DEPUTY
- 24 DIRECTOR OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET
- 25 DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

Т	THE TIEM BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING IS
2	CONSIDERATION OF TWO REPORTS THAT THE BOARD
3	CONTRACTED FOR IN BOTH 1996 AND 1997. BEFORE I
4	TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO MARTHA GILDART, I'D
5	JUST LIKE TO BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE WHAT THOSE REPORTS
6	WERE.
7	BOTH OF THEM PERTAIN TO THE USE OF
8	TIRES AS A SUPPLEMENTAL FUEL IN VARIOUS TYPES OF
9	FACILITIES. THE FIRST REPORT, WHICH WAS CONTRACTED
10	WITH DAMES & MOORE BACK IN JUNE OF 1996, WAS
11	INTENDED TO ANALYZE EXISTING DATA ON EMISSION TEST
12	RESULTS AND RESIDUAL BY-PRODUCTS FROM A FULL RANGE
13	OF FACILITIES USING TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT.
14	IN FEBRUARY OF '97, THE STAFF ACTED
15	ON THE BOARD'S TIRE ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEAR
16	'97-'98 THAT OCCURRED BACK IN NOVEMBER OF 1996, AND
17	WE ENTERED INTO A SUBCONTRACT THROUGH OUR EXISTING
18	LABORATORY SERVICES CONTRACT WITH THE M.S.
19	LABORATORIES AND WORK WITH SUB TO CARNOT TO PERFORM
20	EMISSIONS AND ASH ANALYSIS AT THE AIR PRODUCTS
21	FACILITY IN STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.
22	THIS WAS OF ONE OF THE FIRST
23	FACILITIES LOOKING AT INCORPORATING TIRES AS A FUEL
24	SUPPLEMENT AS A COAL-FIRED COGENERATION FACILITY.
25	I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT FOR THE

COMMITTEE MEMBERS AS WELL AS THE AUDIENCE THAT THE 1 2 CARNOT REPORT, THE REPORT FOCUSING ON THE COAL-FIRED COGEN FACILITY, IS A VERY LENGTHY 3 4 REPORT. IT ACTUALLY EXISTS IN THREE VOLUMES. UP TO A THOUSAND PAGES LONG. SO WHAT WE DID IN THE 5 LETTER THAT WE SENT OUT ADVISING INDIVIDUALS OF THE 6 7 EXISTENCE OF THIS MEETING AND THE FACT THAT THIS ITEM WOULD BE CONSIDERED IS WE SUMMARIZED THE 8 9 RESULTS IN THE AGENDA ITEM ITSELF, AND WE INDICATED THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE EXCERPTS OF THE REPORT, WE 10 WILL MAKE THEM AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. 11 SIMILARLY, FOR THE DAMES & MOORE 12 REPORT, WE MADE THE SAME ANNOUNCEMENT ON THE 13 AVAILABILITY OF THE REPORT, ALTHOUGH IT IS FAR 14 SHORTER IN LENGTH. IT IS APPROXIMATELY 50 PAGES 15 LONG. WE WERE, HOWEVER, UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH 16 REQUESTS TO PROVIDE THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT 17 18 BECAUSE WE DID NOT RECEIVE THAT REPORT IN OUR 19 OFFICES. ALTHOUGH IT WAS COMMITTED TO BE PROVIDED TO US FAR EARLIER, WE DID NOT RECEIVE IT UNTIL 4:45 20 ON FRIDAY NIGHT. SO WE MADE WHAT COPIES WE COULD. 21 22 THIS REPORT HAS BEEN UNDERGOING PEER REVIEW SINCE THE MAY/JUNE TIME PERIOD OF THIS 23 YEAR. THIS IS BY NO MEANS THE FIRST TIME THAT THIS 24

INFORMATION IS OUT, AND THE REPORT THAT WE WERE TO

RECEIVE FRIDAY NIGHT WAS INCORPORATING COMMENTS AND 1 CHANGES THAT CAME IN FROM THAT PEER REVIEW. 2 3 THUS, WHILE WE WILL BE PRESENTING THE INFORMATION FOR BOTH OF THE TWO REPORTS, FOR THE 4 DAMES & MOORE REPORT, STAFF WILL NOT BE 5 RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE REPORT IN COMPLIANCE б 7 WITH THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT ENTERED INTO. WE WILL BE RECOMMENDING THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD OVER TO 8 THE BOARD MEETING, SO WE HAVE SOME TIME TO REVIEW 9 10 THE REPORT, ENSURE THAT COMMENTS WERE INCORPORATED BY THE VARIOUS PARTIES, AND BRING THAT TO BECOME A 11 TRUE FINAL DRAFT REPORT FOR THE BOARD'S 12 13 CONSIDERATION. I WOULD LIKE TO JUST MAKE THE POINT, 14 15 THOUGH, THAT THE ANALYSIS, THE DATA, DID NOT CHANGE FROM THE REPORT THAT WAS INITIALLY ISSUED IN DRAFT 16 FORM LAST JUNE. IT IS NOT THE ANALYSIS, NOR THE 17 FINDINGS THAT HAVE CHANGED; IT IS SIMPLY THE 18 FORMAT. AND, IN FACT, WHAT WE ARE LOOKING TO DO IS 19 INCLUDE SOME OF THE ITEMS THAT WERE IN THE JUNE 20 DRAFT EDITION BACK INTO THE FINAL DRAFT REPORT THAT 21 22 WERE SOMEHOW OMITTED BETWEEN JUNE AND TODAY.

23

WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN THE

24	PRESENTATION	OVER TO N	MARTHA.	
25	MS.	GILDART:	GOOD MORNING.	I'M GOING
ТО				
		-	11	

1	PRESENT SOME OF THE RESULTS. SOME OF THE TABLES
2	AND FIGURES I'LL BE USING ARE OUT OF THE COPIES
OF	
3	THE REPORTS YOU'VE BEEN GIVEN. SOME OF THEM ARE
4	FROM THE EARLIER DRAFT. THIS WAS ONE OF THE
5	CONCERNS THAT WE HAD WITH THE LATEST SUBMISSION
ВҮ	
6	DAMES & MOORE IS THEY OMITTED SOME FIGURES THAT
WE	
7	THOUGHT WERE PARTICULARLY ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE
8	ISSUES.
9	I'M GOING TO START WITH THE DAMES &
10	MOORE REPORT RESULTS AND THEN MOVE INTO THE
CARNOT	
11	THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT, AS CAREN INDICATED, WAS
12	THE BROADER SPECTRUM. THEY CONTACTED 28
13	FACILITIES, INCLUDING CEMENT KILNS, ENERGY
14	PRODUCTION FACILITIES, AND PULP AND PAPER PLANTS,
15	ANYONE THAT THEY WERE AWARE OF THAT HAD USED
TIRES	
16	AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT TO SEE IF THEY COULD ACQUIRE
17	DATA.
18	THE DATA OBTAINED FROM THESE 28

19	FACILITIES RANGED BOTH IN WHAT SORTS OF COMPOUNDS
20	AND CHEMICALS WERE TESTED FOR, AS WELL AS THE
TEST	
21	METHOD USED. SO SOME OF THESE COMPARISONS ARE
ONLY	
22	VERY BROAD BASED COMPARISONS. IT'S NOT SOMETHING
23	THAT WOULD ACTUALLY SUFFICE FOR A REGULATORY
24	PROCEEDING, BUT IT GIVES YOU A SENSE, WHEN YOU
LOOK	
25	AT ANY OTHER SPECIFIC TEST DATA, WHETHER THEY
FALL	1.0

1 WITHIN THOSE RANGES. 2 THIS FIRST ONE SHOWS COMPARISON OF 3 THE CRITERIA POLLUTANTS. CRITERIA POLLUTANTS ARE 4 THOSE FOR WHICH NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS HAVE BEEN SET. IT INCLUDES CARBON 5 MONOXIDE, OXIDES OF NITROGEN, PARTICULATE MATTER, 6 7 SULFUR DIOXIDE. THE DATA PRESENT THE BASELINE 8 EMISSION RANGE, MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM. THAT'S USING 9 THEIR NORMAL FUEL. SOME OF THESE FACILITIES ARE 10 11 COAL-FIRED. SOME OF THESE FACILITIES ARE WOODWASTE FIRED. THE PULP AND PAPER MILLS IN PARTICULAR 12 GENERALLY USE WOODWASTE. 13 THE SECOND SET OF COLUMNS, TDF 14 RESULTS, ARE THOSE EMISSIONS WHEN THEY USE THE 15 TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT. IF YOU LOOK, IN 16 CERTAIN INSTANCES, SOME COMPOUNDS INCREASED THEIR 17 18 MAXIMUMS AND IN SOME INSTANCES DECREASED. THIS IS 19 NOT UNEXPECTED. CARBON MONOXIDE, IF YOU LOOK, INCREASED IN THE MAXIMUM, BUT THE MINIMUMS ARE 20 SIMILAR. OXIDES OF NITROGEN, YOU WILL NOTICE THAT 21 22 IN ALL CASES THE RANGE DECREASED. NOW THAT DOESN'T MEAN AN INDIVIDUAL PLANT MAY NOT HAVE EXPERIENCED 23 AN INCREASE, BUT THE OVERALL RANGE OF EMISSIONS. 24

PARTICULATE MATTER HAS A TENDENCY TO

- 1 INCREASE. SULFUR DIOXIDE APPARENTLY DECREASES.
- 2 WE'VE GOT A GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION. THIS IS ONE OF
- 3 THE FIGURES THAT YOU DO NOT FIND IN THE CURRENT
- 4 COPY OF THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT WE JUST HANDED
- 5 OUT, AND THAT'S ONE OF THE ISSUES WE'RE TRYING TO
- 6 DEAL WITH IN HOW TO FOLD BACK IN SOME OF THESE
- 7 FIGURES.
- 8 THIS IS A GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF
- 9 THE CARBON MONOXIDE RANGES. IT SHOWS FOR THE
- 10 DIFFERENT FACILITIES, AND THOSE STARTING WITH C ARE
- 11 CEMENT KILN, E ARE ENERGY. THE LETTER AND NUMBERS
- 12 ALONG THE AXIS THERE, THE HORIZONTAL AXIS, THE
- 13 FACILITY C IS FOR THE CEMENT KILNS, E IS FOR THE
- 14 ENERGY FACILITIES, P IS FOR PULP AND PAPER PLANTS
- 15 IN THIS MISCELLANEOUS.
- AS YOU WILL NOTICE, IN MOST CASES
- 17 CARBON MONOXIDE DOES INCREASE. IN A FEW CASES IT
- 18 DECREASES. THE BAR GRAPH GOING ABOVE THE LINE
- 19 REPRESENTS AN INCREASE IN EMISSIONS. HOWEVER, THIS
- 20 IS THE RESULT FOR THE OXIDES OF NITROGEN. AS YOU
- 21 WILL NOTICE, THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT DECREASES ALMOST
- 22 ACROSS THE BOARD FOR THESE FACILITIES. AND I'M
- 23 GOING TO GIVE YOU A LITTLE COMBUSTION BACKGROUND
- HERE.
- 25 TYPICALLY WHEN BURNING AN ORGANIC

FUEL, YOU ARE USING ATMOSPHERIC OXYGEN, WHICH MEANS 1 YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE NITROGEN, MOLECULAR NITROGEN, 2 PRESENT. OUR ATMOSPHERE IS 78 PERCENT NITROGEN. 3 4 UNDER HIGH TEMPERATURES MOLECULAR NITROGEN BREAKS 5 DOWN INTO ATOMIC NITROGEN. IT'S VERY REACTIVE. IT 6 FORMS OXIDES OF NITROGEN. 7 TO GET COMPLETE COMBUSTION OF AN ORGANIC FUEL, YOU NEED HIGH TEMPERATURES. THERE IS 8 TYPICALLY A CLASSICAL AIR POLLUTION CONTROL 9 10 PARADOX. AS YOU INCREASE CONTROL ON CARBON 11 MONOXIDE, YOU DECREASE CONTROLS OVER FORMATION OF NITROGEN, SORT OF A CROSS-OVER POINT WHERE THE 12 HIGHER THE TEMPERATURE, THE LOWER THE CARBON 13 MONOXIDE, BUT THE HIGHER THE OXIDES OF NITROGEN. 14 15 SO DEPENDING UPON WHETHER A FACILITY IS LOCATED IN A NONATTAINMENT ZONE FOR OZONE OR 16 FOR CARBON MONOXIDE, THEY WILL GEAR THEIR OPERATION 17 ΙN 18 ONE DIRECTION OR THE OTHER. THEY CAN PREFERENTIALLY DECREASE CO AND INCREASE NOX IF 19 THEY

FEEL THAT IS IN THE NEEDS OF THEIR AIR QUALITY

21	PERMITS,	OR	THEY	CAN	OPERATE	AT	LOWER
יים מאים יי	DATIIDEC						
TUMBE	RATURES,						

- 22 SLIGHTLY INCREASING CO AND DECREASING NOX.
- THERE ARE THEN ALSO ADDITIONAL
- 24 CONTROLS THAT CAN BE PUT ON FOR OXIDES OF NITROGEN.
- 25 IN SOME FACILITIES YOU CAN USE NONSELECTIVE 15

1	CATALYTIC REDUCTION AMMONIA INJECTION TO REACT
WITH	
2	THE NOX. SO THE POINT HERE IS THAT THESE
3	FACILITIES, IF THEY'RE SITED IN CALIFORNIA OR IF
4	THEY'RE WANTING TO USE TIRES IN CALIFORNIA, MORE
5	OFTEN ARE ATTEMPTING TO CONTROL FOR OXIDES OF
6	NITROGEN. MANY AREAS OF CALIFORNIA ARE
7	NONATTAINMENT FOR OZONE. NITROGEN OXIDES ARE
8	PRECURSORS TO OZONE. THEY CAN OPERATE IN SUCH A
9	WAY AS TO DECREASE OXIDES OF NITROGEN BY USING
10	TIRES AND ACTUALLY IMPROVE THEIR OVERALL
EMISSI	ONS.
11	IT MAY INCREASE CARBON MONOXIDE. AND THAT UP
TILL	
12	NOW HAS BEEN THE ACCEPTABLE TRADE-OFF TO AIR
13	QUALITY DISTRICTS.
14	THIS NEXT TABLE WILL PROBABLY NOT
15	SHOW UP TOO WELL ON THE T.V. CAMERA, BUT IT'S
JUST	
16	TO SHOW YOU THE LENGTH, THE NUMBER OF COMPOUNDS
17	EXAMINED BY THE STUDY.
1.8	THIS IS A LIST OF THE METALS

RESULT.

19	ONE NOT UNEXPECTED RESULT WAS THE INCREASE IN
20	ZINC. IF YOU LOOK DOWN AT THESE TWO NUMBERS AT
THE	
21	BOTTOM OF THE PAGE, ZINC EMISSIONS DO HAVE A
22	TENDENCY TO INCREASE, BUT THERE ARE OTHERS THAT
23	WILL ACTUALLY DECREASE.
24	UNFORTUNATELY I DON'T HAVE THE
GRAPH,	
25	AND THIS IS WHAT WE WANT TO GET FROM DAMES &
MOORE,	

A SIMILAR GRAPH SHOWING THE METALS INCREASES AND 1 2 DECREASES, SO YOU CAN QUICKLY GET A READ ON WHAT THE CHANGES ARE. 3 4 THEY ALSO RAN THROUGH A VERY LONG LIST OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS, AND IT ALSO SHOWS THIS 5 VARIATION. SOME INCREASE; SOME DECREASE. ONE OF 6 7 THE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS YOU HEAR A LOT OF IS DIOXIN. AND I APOLOGIZE, OUR COMPUTERS FROZE UP THIS 8 9 MORNING, SO YOU'VE NOW HANDWRITTEN, HAND-DRAWN 10 DIAGRAMS. 11 JUST SO PEOPLE CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT THE CONTROVERSY IS ABOUT DIOXIN, THIS IS THE BASIC 12 MOLECULE, THE BUILDING BLOCK THAT FORMS DIOXIN. 13 14 IT'S TWO BENZENE RINGS CONNECTED BY TWO OXYGENS SHOWN IN THE MIDDLE. THOSE NUMBERS, ONE THROUGH 15 NINE, SHOW LOCATIONS WITHIN THE MOLECULE WHERE 16 CHLORINE ATOMS CAN ATTACH, AND THAT'S WHAT MAKES 17 ΙT 18 A POLYCHLORINATED DIBENZO P DIOXIN. NOW, INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, THE MOST 19 TOXIC FORM OF DIOXIN IS WHAT THEY CALL THE 2378 20 TETRACHLORINATED DIBENZO P DIOXIN. THAT'S WHEN 21 YOU 22 HAVE CHLORINE ATOMS AT THE LOCATIONS ON THE RING

INDICATED BY A TWO, THREE, SEVEN, AND EIGHT.

24		IN	TESTING	FOR D	IOXINS,	AND	THERE'S	
25	SOMETHING	LIKE 75	5 DIFFER 17	ENT CO	ONGENERS	OF	DIOXINS,	

- 1 THE ONES THAT ARE OF CONCERN TO THE REGULATORY
- 2 COMMUNITY ARE THOSE WHICH HAVE CHLORINE ATOMS IN
- 3 THESE FOUR POSITIONS. SO WHAT THEY WILL TYPICALLY
- 4 DO IS RUN THROUGH A TEST IDENTIFYING WHAT DIOXINS
- 5 ARE PRESENT, THEN DO A MATHEMATICAL CALCULATION
- 6 BASED ON INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS TO CONVERT THEM
- 7 ALL TO THE EQUIVALENTS -- TETRA EQUIVALENTS, AND
- 8 THOSE WILL BE THE EMISSIONS THAT THE HEALTH RISKS
- 9 ARE BASED UPON.
- 10 IF YOU LOOK HERE ON THIS LIST, I'LL
- 11 TRY AND ZOOM IN AND MOVE IT AROUND, YOU WILL NOTICE
- ON THE LEFT-HAND COLUMN HERE, THERE'S A LISTING OF
- 13 DIFFERENT HEPTA, HEXA, AND OCTA, PENTA, AND
- 14 TETRACHLORINATED DIOXINS. IT SHOWS THE MAXIMUM
- 15 RATE. IF YOU WILL NOTICE, FOR 2378
- 16 TETRACHLORINATED DIBENZO P DIOXIN, THE 1.3 TIMES
- 17 TEN TO THE NEGATIVE EIGHTH POUNDS PER HOUR IS THE
- 18 MAXIMUM; AND IF YOU WILL NOTICE WITH TIRES, IT DID
- 19 INCREASE SLIGHTLY 1.96 TIMES TEN TO THE NEGATIVE
- 20 EIGHTH.
- 21 WHEN YOU ARE TALKING IN, YOU KNOW,
- 22 PARTS PER BILLION AND PARTS PER TRILLION, THOSE ARE
- 23 FAIRLY SMALL INCREASES. HOWEVER, IF YOU WILL LOOK
- 24 HERE WHERE IT SAYS PCDD AND THEN IN PARENTHESES IT
- 25 SAYS TEO, THAT'S THE TETRA EQUIVALENT, THAT'S THE

CALCULATED NUMBER I WAS EXPLAINING. THE TWO

1

NUMBERS, THE TWO MAXIMUMS, ARE 8.3 TIMES TEN TO THE 2 3 NEGATIVE EIGHTH AND 5.8 TIMES TEN TO THE NEGATIVE EIGHTH. IN THIS INSTANCE, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE 4 5 TOXIC EQUIVALENT CALCULATED FORM, THERE WAS A DECREASE IN THE RANGE. AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT 6 7 HAS SHOWN UP, AND I WILL BE GOING INTO THE CARNOT 8 DATA SHORTLY. THERE HAS BEEN A TENDENCY FOR 9 10 WHATEVER THE CHEMISTRY IS WITHIN THE COMBUSTION 11 ZONE USING TIRES TO SOMETIMES INCREASE THE 2378 TETRA FORM OF THE DIOXIN, BUT THE OVERALL EMISSIONS 12 OF DIOXIN, PARTICULARLY WHEN THEY'RE PUT ON THIS 13 RISK-BASED CALCULATION, DECREASE. SO YOU CAN HAVE 14 15 A BASIS FOR ARGUMENT IN EITHER DIRECTION, AND YOU WILL HEAR PEOPLE ARGUING IN EITHER DIRECTION. 16 TO GO INTO THE RESULTS OF THE CARNOT 17 TESTING, CARNOT IS THE EMISSIONS TESTING LABORATORY 18 THAT WORKED FOR US UNDER A SUBCONTRACT TO TEST AT 19 AIR PRODUCTS FACILITY IN STOCKTON, WHICH IS A 20 CIRCULATING FLUIDIZED BED COAL COMBUSTER. 21 22 MEANS THEY USE SUFFICIENT AIR INJECTED INTO THE COMBUSTION ZONE AND THROUGH THE BOTTOM OF THE 23

24	FACILITY	THAT	IT A	ACTUALI	LΥ	KEEPS	EVER	RYTHING	IN	1
25	MOVEMENT.	THE	RE'S	LIKE	Α	SAND-I	IKE	MATERIA	ΔL	IN
				19						

- 1 THERE. THE COAL AND THE TIRE IS INJECTED INTO
- 2 THAT. THE AIR KEEPS IT ALL IN MOVEMENT. YOU GET
- 3 EXTREMELY THOROUGH COMBUSTION, AND THESE ARE VERY,
- 4 VERY LOW EMITTING FACILITIES.
- 5 THESE -- THIS GRAPH WAS INCLUDED IN
- 6 THE AGENDA PACKET. IT SHOWS THE CRITERIA
- 7 POLLUTANTS, NOX, SOX, CARBON MONOXIDE, PARTICULATE
- 8 MATTER. THE DARK BAR IS THE COAL ONLY BASELINE FOR
- 9 1995. THE WHITE BAR IS THEIR PERMIT LIMIT AS
- 10 ISSUED BY THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR
- 11 POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, AND THE BAR IN THE
- 12 MIDDLE, THE LIGHT GRAY ONE, IS WHAT THEY TESTED
- 13 WITH TIRES.
- AS YOU WILL NOTICE, THERE WAS A
- 15 SLIGHT INCREASE IN NOX, A SIGNIFICANT DECREASE IN
- 16 SOX, THE CO WAS KEPT SORT OF AT THE SAME LEVEL,
- 17 IT'S HOW THEY OPERATE THE FACILITY, AND AN ACTUAL
- 18 DECREASE IN PM. NOW, THIS IS SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT
- 19 FROM THE RANGE OF VALUES WE SAW WITH THE DAMES &
- 20 MOORE, BUT IT IS WITHIN THOSE RANGES.
- 21 THESE DATA WERE EXAMINED BY THE AIR
- 22 POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, AND WE HAVE A
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE IN THE AUDIENCE TODAY, WHO, IF YOU

- 24 HAVE QUESTIONS, COULD ANSWER THEM, BUT THEY FELT IT
- 25 WAS WITHIN ALL TERMS OF THE PERMIT.

ONE OF THE THINGS, I'M GOING TO HAVE 1 2 TO USE SOME OF THESE TABLES IN ITEM NO. 2 WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE OVERALL POLICY. EXCUSE ME 3 4 AS I FLIP THROUGH THESE. THE AIR PRODUCTS FACILITY WENT 5 THROUGH A CALCULATION, ONCE AGAIN, TO DETERMINE 6 RISKS. THEY SPLIT THEM BETWEEN CARCINOGENIC 7 EFFECTS AND NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS, BOTH ACUTE AND 8 9 CHRONIC. THEY HAVE TRIED TO COMPARE THE RISK BETWEEN THEIR BASELINE, WHICH IS THE 1995, AND THE 10 11 OPERATION WITH THE TIRE FUEL. AS YOU WILL SEE, THE CARCINOGENIC RISK DECREASED ONCE THEY ADDED THE 12 TIRES. THAT'S INDICATED WHEN IT SAYS THE TOTAL 13 RISK IS .92 VERSUS 1.48. THIS IS WHEN THEY PUT IT 14 ON A UNIT RISK BASIS AND THEY GO THROUGH ALL THE 15 DIFFERENT EMISSIONS. WHAT THEY'VE SHOWN HERE IS 16 THE THREE TOP CONTRIBUTORS TO THE RISK. 17 18 YOU WILL NOTICE CHROME 6 IS ONE OF 19 THE CONTENDERS, AS IS NICKEL AND ARSENIC. THEY SOMEWHAT CHANGE POSITION IN THE TWO DIFFERENT TESTS 20 DEPENDING UPON THEIR EMISSIONS RATES. 21 22 THE NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS, AND THEY LOOK AT BOTH CHRONIC AND ACUTE, WHICH IS SHORT-TERM 23 AND LONG-TERM, AS YOU WILL NOTICE, THERE IS WITH 24 25 THE TIRE FUEL A SLIGHT INCREASE IN CHRONIC, BUT

- 1 THEN THE ACUTE IS A PUSH. SO THE TENDENCY, WHEN
- 2 RISK ASSESSORS ARE LOOKING AT THESE SORTS OF
- 3 EMISSIONS, WAS TO LOOK AT THE TOTAL TO SEE WHETHER
- 4 OR NOT IT CHANGES THEIR LISTING ON THEIR PERMIT.
- 5 AND AS WE'VE BEEN TOLD, THE SAN JOAQUIN AIR
- 6 DISTRICT IS SATISFIED THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO
- 7 SIGNIFICANT CHANGE.
- 8 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR. MARTHA, I'D
- 9 LIKE TO ASK. COULD YOU JUST DEFINE FOR US THE
- 10 TERMS "ACUTE" AND "CHRONIC" IN THIS SENSE.
- 11 MS. GILDART: ACUTE WOULD BE SHORT TERM;
- 12 THAT IS, IF YOU HAD ONE EXPOSURE OR AN EXPOSURE TO
- 13 A HIGH LEVEL OVER A BRIEF PERIOD OF TIME, WHAT

RISK

14 WOULD YOU EXPERIENCE. CHRONIC IS TYPICALLY A

LOWER

- 15 LEVEL EXPOSURE FOR YEARS AND YEARS. FOR
- 16 INSTANCE, WHEN YOU ARE DOING A CLASSICAL HEALTH
- 17 RISK ASSESSMENT, THEY TYPICALLY LOOK AT THE

AMBIENT

- 18 AIR QUALITY AND DETERMINE WHAT YOUR RISK IS OVER A
- 19 70-YEAR LIFE SPAN, ASSUMING THAT YOU LIVE AT THAT
- 20 SITE FOR 70 YEARS, DRINKING WATER FROM THAT SITE,
- 21 FISH THAT WERE RAISED IN A POND, VEGETABLES GROWN
- 22 IN THE GARDEN AT THAT SITE, AND THEY CALCULATE ALL

23	THAT ON LONG-TERM EXPOSURE.
24	THE ACUTE ONE IS SOMETHING MORE LIKE
25	YOU WOULD EXPERIENCE IF YOU WERE LIKE RIGHT WITHIN 22

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE DOWNWASH OF THE STACK FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF

2 TIME OR SOMETHING. 3 MEMBER RELIS: THANK YOU. MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE, MARTHA, BEFORE 4 5 YOU CONTINUE, JUST TO CLARIFY, MARTHA JUST MENTIONED THAT THE AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT 6 7 FOR SAN JOAQUIN UNIFIED VALLEY HAS INDICATED THAT THIS LOOKS GOOD. 8 I'D LIKE TO JUST POINT OUT THAT, AND 9 10 PERHAPS LATER WE'LL HEAR FROM ONE OF THE SPEAKERS, 11 SPECIFICALLY AIR PRODUCTS THEMSELVES, ABOUT THE PROCESS THAT THEY'RE GOING THROUGH AND WHAT THEY'RE 12 DOING WITH THIS INFORMATION. 13 WE'VE HAD PRELIMINARY CONVERSATIONS 14 INDICATING THAT UNDER THE TOXIC HOT SPOTS PROGRAM, 15 THAT THIS DATA REFLECTS NO CHANGE IN TERMS OF HOW 16 THE FACILITY IS LOOKED AT, BUT WE DO NOT HAVE THAT 17

CONFIRMED IN WRITING AT THIS POINT.

TO THE FACILITY'S ACTUAL PERMIT AND ANY

AND THEN THE REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, AS IT WOULD RELATE

MODIFICATIONS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MADE, THEY HAVE

NOT GONE THROUGH THAT PROCESS YET, BUT I THINK THAT

24	THEY COULD PROVIDE MAYBE JUST SOME GENERAL
25	INFORMATION AROUND WHAT THEY SEE IN THIS 23

INFORMATION A LITTLE LATER TOO.

_	
2	MS. GILDART: I'VE MOSTLY COMPLETED THE
3	PRESENTATION OF THE RESULTS WE THOUGHT OF
4	INTEREST. AS CAREN POINTED OUT, THE DAMES & MOORE
5	REPORT, WHICH CAME IN ON FRIDAY, STAFF BELIEVES
6	NEEDS SOME MORE WORK ON THE FORMAT AND
7	PRESENTATION. WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ASKING FOR
8	ADDITIONAL ANALYSES OR DATA COLLECTION, BUT WE WANT
9	SOME CHANGES MADE IN THE LANGUAGE AND IN THE TABLES
10	AND FIGURES, SO WE WILL BE WORKING WITH DAMES &
11	MOORE ON THOSE. AT THIS POINT IF THERE ARE ANY
12	QUESTIONS.
13	MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE JUST TO SUMMARIZE
14	FOR THE COMMITTEE, THEN, WHAT THIS ITEM IS BEFORE
15	YOU TODAY IS CONSIDERATION OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF
16	THESE TWO REPORTS AS IT RELATES TO THE CONTRACTUAL
17	OBLIGATION OF BOTH DAMES & MOORE AND CARNOT HAD.
18	AND TO GO BACK TO MY COMMENTS AT THE OUTSET OF THIS
19	ITEM, WE FEEL THAT THERE'S MORE WORK WITH DAMES &
20	MOORE, AS MARTHA STATED AS WELL, SO IT WOULD BE THE
21	STAFF RECOMMENDATION THAT YOU ACCEPT THE REPORT AS
22	PREPARED BY CARNOT AND SUBMIT IT IN COMPLIANCE WITH
23	THE CONTRACTUAL TERMS AND AGREEMENT, AND THAT YOU

- 24 DIRECT STAFF TO WORK TO FINALIZE THE REPORT WITH
- DAMES & MOORE PRIOR TO THE BOARD MEETING.

CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. IF THAT'S IT, 1 2 MARTHA, WE HAVE ONE -- WE HAVE ONE SPEAKER SLIP, AND THAT IS FROM MR. JOHN BENNETT. 3 4 MR. BENNETT: GIVEN THE STAFF RECOMMENDA-TION, I DON'T NEED TO MAKE A COMMENT, MR. JONES. 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. WE HAVE ON THIS 6 7 ITEM MR. PAUL VALLONE FROM AIR PRODUCTS. MR. VALLONE: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS 8 9 PAUL VALLONE. I'M THE MANAGER OF THE AIR PRODUCTS STOCKTON COGEN FACILITY. I JUST WANTED TO THANK 10 BOTH MARTHA AND CAREN AS WELL AS THE REST OF THE 11 STAFF MEMBERS FROM THE BOARD, THE INTEGRATED WASTE 12 MANAGEMENT BOARD, AS WELL AS THE -- OUR LOCAL AIR 13 DISTRICT FOR COOPERATING WITH US FOR THIS TEST. 14 WE ALSO PRETTY MUCH AGREE WITH 15 EVERYTHING THAT MARTHA JUST PRESENTED TO YOU. THE 16 ONLY REAL ONE TECHNICAL ISSUE WAS THAT IN THE 17 18 SUMMARY THAT YOU ALL SENT OUT, YOU PUT THAT WE 19 BLENDED UP TO 20 PERCENT TDF, AND IT ACTUALLY WAS ONLY 14 PERCENT. I DIDN'T WANT TO CONFUSE THE 20 21 ISSUE AT ALL. 22 BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT WE ALSO AGREE AND ARE PRETTY MUCH ENCOURAGED AS FAR AS WHAT 23 THE RESULTS WE'VE SEEN SO FAR. WE HAD THREE 24

MILESTONES THAT WE WERE TRYING TO ACHIEVE BEFORE WE

1	WOULD BE ABLE TO USE TIRE-DERIVED FUEL AS A PORTION
2	OF OUR FUEL MIX. ONE OF THEM IS TO DEMONSTRATE
3	TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND ALSO TO SHOW THAT WE
4	DIDN'T HAVE NO NET INCREASE IN OUR EMISSIONS.
5	ONE THING MARTHA DID NOT TALK ABOUT
6	WAS THE FACT THAT WE WERE ABLE TO ESTABLISH THAT IT
7	WAS TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE TO HANDLE AND UTILIZE THE
8	TDF MATERIAL IN OUR FACILITY AND CONTROL OUR
9	EMISSIONS AS WELL AS MAKE SURE THAT WE WERE ABLE TO
10	MAINTAIN SIMILAR TYPE COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS.
11	ANOTHER THING THAT WE WERE VERY
12	INTERESTED IN WAS ON OUR ASH BY-PRODUCT THAT WE
13	GENERATE. THE ASH THAT WE GENERATE WITH BOTH COAL
14	AND PETROLEUM COKE WHEN WE COAL FIRE THOSE
15	MATERIALS IS A NONHAZARDOUS MATERIAL THAT WE FOUND
16	HAS A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT BENEFICIAL ASH REUSE
17	APPLICATIONS, AND WE WERE CONCERNED TO MAKE SURE
18	THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN THOSE. AND WE
19	ARE ABLE TO.
20	THE MATERIAL, THE ASH THAT WE
PRODUC	Е
21	DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME WAS ANALYZED AND IS
22	STILL CONTINUING TO BE CLASSIFIED AS A

MATERIAL. AND WE WERE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO UTILIZE

NONHAZARDOUS

- 24 IT IN A SIMILAR TYPE OF ASH REUSE PROGRAM THAT WE
- 25 HAD IN THE PAST.

1	TWO OTHER THINGS THAT WE NEED TO DO
2	BEFORE WE MOVE IS, ONE, TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S
3	A THAT THE MATERIAL FROM AN ECONOMIC STANDPOINT
4	HAS A COST NEGATIVE COST OR IMPROVEMENT IN OUR
5	OPERATING COST. AND WE'RE WORKING CURRENTLY WITH A
6	NUMBER OF THE TIRE SUPPLIERS TO FEEL COMFORTABLE
7	THAT IS THE CASE.
8	PRELIMINARILY WE DO FEEL FAIRLY
9	CONFIDENT THAT WE CAN BE ABLE TO USE THIS MATERIAL
10	AND REDUCE OUR NET COST AT OUR FACILITY, WHICH IS
11	THE INTEREST THAT WE HAVE AS FAR AS TO BE ABLE TO
12	HANDLE TDF.
13	AND THE FINAL THING THAT WE NEED TO
14	DO IS GAIN COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE THAT THIS IS A
15	WIN-WIN FOR BOTH THE COMMUNITY AS WELL AS FOR OUR
16	FACILITY. WE'VE MADE SOME PRELIMINARY CONTACTS
17	WITH SOME OF THE COMMUNITY LEADERS AND HAVE BEEN
18	ENCOURAGED IN RECOGNIZING THAT THERE IS A PROBLEM
19	AS FAR AS WITH TIRES OUT IN CALIFORNIA AND THAT,
20	HOPEFULLY, FACILITIES SUCH AS OURS CAN BE PART OF A
21	SOLUTION TO THAT PROBLEM.
22	AND I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY KIND
23	OF QUESTIONS ANYONE MIGHT HAVE.
24	CHAIRMAN JONES: QUESTIONS? THANKS, MR.
25	VALLONE.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M 1 2 PREPARED TO MOVE THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO ACCEPT THE CARNOT REPORT AND TO FORWARD THE DAMES & MOORE 3 4 REPORT TO THE FULL BOARD. MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, JUST A 5 QUESTION, SPEAKING TO THE MOTION. I UNDERSTAND IN 6 7 THE CARNOT REPORT, FROM WHAT -- I HAD TWO EXCERPTS OR SECTIONS OF THIS REPORT -- THAT THE TESTS WERE 8 9 DONE IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD METHODOLOGY. THEY KEEP REFERRING TO VARIOUS 10 11 PROTOCOLS, I GUESS, ESTABLISHED BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD FOR THIS TYPE OF TEST. 12 MS. TRGOVCICH: PERHAPS WHAT WE COULD DO 13 IS ASK STEVE HOWIE TO STEP FORWARD. HE KNEW HE 14 WOULDN'T GET AWAY WITHOUT GETTING UP HERE TODAY. 15 HE'S WITH THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR 16 POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT. AND PERHAPS HE COULD 17 18 COME ON UP TO THE PODIUM AND DESCRIBE FOR YOU A 19 LITTLE BIT AROUND WHAT WAS INVOLVED IN THE APPROVAL OF THE TEST BURN ITSELF. 20 MR. HOWIE: HOW YOU DOING? I AM STEVE 21 22 HOWIE. I'M A SENIOR AIR QUALITY ENGINEER OUT OF THE MODESTO OFFICE OF THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY 23 UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT. MY JOB 24 25 THERE IS IN THE PERMITTING SIDE FOR OUR CRITERIA

- 1 POLLUTANTS. ALTHOUGH WE DO HAVE A SECTION DOWN IN
- 2 FRESNO FOR THE TOXICS, AND THEY'VE BEEN WORKING
- 3 REAL CLOSELY WITH THAT.
- 4 WHAT I'LL GO AHEAD AND DISCUSS WITH
- 5 YOU A LITTLE BIT IS, OF COURSE, A GENERAL IDEA OF
- 6 WHAT THEY'RE DOING AND SOME OF THE PROTOCOLS THAT
- 7 WENT INTO THE TESTING.
- FIRST OF ALL, AS YOU KNOW, THEY DID
- 9 WANT TO BURN SOME TDF FUEL IN THEIR COAL-FIRED
- 10 FURNACE. ON AN ENGINEERING BACKGROUND, IF YOU KNOW
- 11 THAT MOST TDF FUELS ARE WHOLE TIRES OR LARGE
- 12 CHUNKS. MOST OF THE ONES WE -- EVEN ONE, MODESTO
- 13 ENERGY BURNS WHOLE TIRES IN A STOKER-TYPE METHOD.
- 14 IT MEANS YOU PILE THE TIRES ON A GRILL, THE GRILL
- 15 GETS HOT, THE TIRES BURN.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S ONE WE'RE VERY
- 17 FAMILIAR WITH.
- MR. HOWIE: WHAT THESE PEOPLE HAD WANTED
- 19 TO DO IS GRIND THE TIRES UP IN VERY SMALL CHUNKS,
- 20 ALTHOUGH THE TESTS THEY DID WERE 4-INCH CHUNKS, THE
- 21 TIRES WERE THIS BIG OR SMALLER. THEY DO PLAN ON
- 22 DOING SMALLER CHUNKS YET, AND THE REASON FOR THIS
- 23 AND WHY THE EMISSIONS TEND TO BE A LITTLE LOWER IS
- THERE IS MORE AREA FOR THE FIRE TO GET AROUND THE
- 25 FUEL. AND SINCE IT'S ALSO FLUIDIZED BED, THE FLAME

WILL REACH ALL THE WAY AROUND IT. SO AS FAR AS 1 COMBUSTION, MUCH MORE THOROUGH COMBUSTION. 2 3 AS FAR AS THE NOX AND SOX, IT'S NOT REALLY A CONCERN BECAUSE THEY DO USE EXTERIOR 4 5 EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES; I.E., AMMONIA FOR THE NOX AND LIMESTONE INJECTION FOR THE SOX. SO THAT CAN 6 7 BE CONTROLLED REGARDLESS OF HOW THE FLAME IS BURNING. THE BIG TRICK HERE IS CO. THAT'S A BIG 8 IDENTIFIER AS TO HOW WELL THE FLAME IS ACTUALLY 9 10 DOING, HOW WELL IT'S COMBUSTING. BECAUSE OF THE 11 LOW CO AS COMPARED TO A STOKER-TYPE UNIT, WE KNOW IT'S BURNING EXTREMELY WELL. AND, OF COURSE, 12 BECAUSE OF THAT, OF HAVE A LITTLE BIT HIGHER 13 TEMPERATURES AND, OF COURSE, SOME OF THE METALS AND 14 SOME OF THE NONMETAL TOXICS TEND TO GET DESTROYED 15 BECAUSE OF IT. 16 AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY A LOT OF 17 THEIR NUMBERS ARE LOWER THAN MAYBE LIKE THE CEMENT 18 19 KILNS AND SOME OF THE OTHER PLACES MIGHT BE WHOLE 20 TIRES. WHICH -- AS A DISTRICT WE DID HAVE 21

SOME RESERVATIONS AS TO DOING THIS BECAUSE MOST OF

OUR EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN FROM WHOLE TIRES. AND FROM

22

24	SEEING 7	THE RESULT	rs, We	HAVE	FOUND	OUT	THAT	THE
25	NUMBERS	ACTUALLY	TURNEI	OUT	REAL 1	WELL.	. THE	C
			3.0					

CRITERIA POLLUTANT EMISSIONS WERE WITHIN OUR

1

23

```
ACCEPTABLE LEVELS AND ARE ACTUALLY LOWER.
 2
 3
                     THE METALS, WE DO ON THE TOXIC
      TEST -- SHE -- THE REPRESENTATIVE HAD EXPLAINED
 4
 5
      THAT, THAT THEY'RE ADDITIVE, WHICH MEANS EACH METAL
      GETS A NUMBER AND IT'S SCORED. EACH NUMBER IS
 б
 7
      ADDED TOGETHER FOR A FINAL SCORE, AND THE FINAL
      SCORE ACTUALLY TURNED OUT TO BE FAIRLY INSIGNIFI-
 8
      CANT COMPARED TO THE SCORE THAT IT WAS BEFORE UNDER
 9
10
       2588 TESTS, WHICH WAS -- WHICH MEANS THERE'S
11
      PROBABLY MORE -- SOME TOXICS IN A -- YOUR COAL
      THAT, SINCE YOU ARE BURNING LESS, WOULD BE LESS
12
      COMING OUT THE STACK. AND, OF COURSE, CONVERSELY,
13
      THERE MAY BE MORE, LIKE, ZINCS IN YOUR TIRES; BUT
14
15
      AS OVERALL, IT WAS ABOUT THE SAME.
                     AS FAR AS DOING THE TEST, THE SOURCE
16
      TEST, THEY'RE REQUIRED TO DO A SOURCE TEST BASED ON
17
      WHAT WE RECOMMEND, AND WE DID RECOMMEND A FULL TEST
18
      ON ALL CRITERIA POLLUTANTS AND ALL METALS. THE
19
      ALL-METAL TEST WAS BASED ON 2588 -- AB 2588, WHICH
20
      IS A STATE LAW DEVELOPED FOR LETTING PEOPLE KNOW
21
22
      THE TOXIC EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PLANTS BY DOING TESTS.
```

ALL THE TEST PROTOCOL WAS BASED ON

24	EPA OR ARB TEST M	METHODS. IT REALLY	DEPENDED ON
25	WHICH WAS MOST ST	FRINGENT. LIKE FOR	PARTICULATES,

- 1 THE TEST METHODS FOR PARTICULATES WAS MUCH MORE
- 2 STRINGENT IN ARB INSTEAD OF THE EPA, SO WE DECIDED
- 3 WE WANTED TO USE THAT. ALL METALS WERE ARB SINCE
- 4 EPA DOESN'T HAVE A LOT OF THOSE.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: SO ARE YOU SAYING THAT
- 6 WHEREVER THERE ARE TWO STANDARDS, THE STRICTER OF
- 7 THE TWO APPLIED?
- 8 MR. HOWIE: ALWAYS DO THE STRICTER OF THE
- 9 TWO. ONE GOOD REASON FOR THAT IS THAT THEY PASS
- 10 OURS, THEY WILL PASS THE EPA'S. EPA DOES HAVE
- 11 THEIR OWN STANDARDS IN THEIR FEDERAL REGISTER AND
- 12 THEIR CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS THAT WE DO
- 13 FOLLOW; BUT BECAUSE OURS ARE MORE STRINGENT, WE
- 14 FIGURE IF IT PASSES OURS, IT'S GOING TO PASS THEIRS
- 15 AND IT DOES.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: JUST ONE OTHER, AND THIS
- 17 MAY BE -- I DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS DIRECTED TO, BUT
- 18 THIS TIES INTO OUR OVERALL DISCUSSION. I REALIZE
- 19 WE'RE LOOKING AT THE REPORT; BUT IF TIRES WERE JUST
- 20 BURNED, I MEAN WE'VE HAD TIRE PILES BURN IN THE
- 21 STATE, DO WE KNOW WHAT THE CHARACTERISTICS OF

THE

22 SMOKE FROM THOSE TIRES ARE VIS-A-VIS THIS

TYPE OF

- 23 ANALYSIS, OR IS THAT JUST INFERRED? I MEAN
- IT'S A
- 24 LESS OBVIOUSLY CONTROLLED AND LOWER

TEMPERATURE

25 COMBUSTION.

1 MS. GILDART: IT'S UNCONTROLLED COMBUSTION, AND THE TEMPERATURES WILL RANGE 2 3 THROUGHOUT THE PILES, SO YOU GET ALL SORTS OF DIFFERENT CONDITIONS OCCURRING. WE HAVE THE 4 5 RESULTS OF A SIMULATED OPEN BURN THAT WAS CONDUCTED б UNDER AN EPA GRANT WHERE THEY TOOK A COUPLE OF TIRES AND CUT THEM IN PIECES AND PUT THEM INSIDE OF 7 A BURN UNIT AND JUST BURNED THEM AND TOOK EMISSION 8 TESTS. AND I WAS GOING TO SHOW SOME OF THESE 9 NUMBERS TO YOU AS PART OF THE SECOND ITEM. 10 MEMBER RELIS: HOLD THAT. 11 MS. GILDART: WE'RE ALSO AWARE THAT 12 DURING THE CHOPPERENA FIRE, WE HAVE HEARD THAT 13 THERE WAS AN AIR DISTRICT THERE DOING SOME DOWNWIND 14 MONITORING. WE'RE TRYING TO TRACK DOWN THOSE DATA. 15 WE HAVE NOT YET SEEN THEM THOUGH. 16 17 MR. HOWIE: JUST TO COMMENT, IF YOU BURN 18 TIRES IN AN OPEN ENVIRONMENT, YOU KNOW THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT MORE SMOKE AND A LOT MORE TOXICS 19 BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY FOR THEM TO BE CONTAINED. 20 OF COURSE, IN A CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT YOU CAN 21 CONTAIN THAT. AS YOU CAN SEE, THERE PROBABLY IS 5 22 PERCENT OPACITY, WHICH MEANS THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS 23 24 COMING OUT OF THE STACK ARE LESS VERSUS OVER A

- 1 WITH THAT, THAT INCLUDES -- THAT ALSO
- 2 MEANS THAT THE TOXICS AND ALL THE OTHERS BECOME
- 3 DESTROYED AS WELL.
- 4 MEMBER RELIS: THANK YOU.
- 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: THANKS.
- 6 MEMBER RELIS: THEN, MR. CHAIR, I'M
- 7 PREPARED TO SECOND THE MOTION. I UNDERSTAND THE
- 8 MOTION IS TO ACCEPT THE CARNOT REPORT AND PASS ON
- 9 THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION AS
- 10 STAFF. YES. SECOND.
- 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: PASS IT UP TO THE BOARD
- 12 FOR THE NEXT BOARD. OKAY, JEANNINE, WOULD YOU LIKE
- 13 TO CALL THE ROLL.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 15 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON.
- 17 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN JONES.
- 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: AYE. ALL RIGHT.
- THE SECOND ITEM ON OUR AGENDA WAS
- ORIGINALLY ITEM NO. 4 -- I'M SORRY -- ITEM NO. 2,
- 22 AND IT'S GOING TO STAY AS ITEM NO. 2 SINCE WE
- 23 DIDN'T HAVE ITEM NO. 1. MS. TRGOVCICH.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 25 JONES AND MEMBERS AGAIN. THIS ITEM IS NECESSARILY

SECOND IN LINE BECAUSE WHAT WE WANTED TO DO WAS TO 1 2 PRESENT TO YOU THE ACTUAL DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS FROM THE REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS FACILITIES, 3 4 THE 22 TYPES OF FACILITIES UNDER THE DAMES & MOORE STUDY, AS WELL AS THE CARNOT EVALUATION OF THE 5 EMISSIONS AND ASH RESULTS FROM THE AIR PRODUCTS 6 7 FACILITY. IT WAS NECESSARY TO PRESENT THAT 8 INFORMATION SO THAT YOU HAD A BASIS FOR 9 CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEM NO. 2. 10 AGENDA ITEM NO. 2 IS AN ATTEMPT TO 11 BRING BACK BEFORE THIS COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD THE 12 POLICY STATEMENT THAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD BACK 13 IN 1992. AS YOU WILL REMEMBER, THE BOARD ADOPTED 14 THE REPORT "TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT FEASIBILITY 15 STUDY, " AND WITHIN THAT REPORT THERE WAS DIRECTION, 16 POLICY DIRECTION, TO THE STAFF AND TO ANY READER 17 THAT WE SHOULD PROVIDE SUPPORT FOR THE USE OF TIRES 18 19 AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT IN CEMENT KILNS. TIMES HAVE CHANGED; AND WHILE OUR 20 SUPPORT FOR THAT INDUSTRY HAS NOT LESSENED IN TERMS 21 22 OF THE TECHNOLOGY ITSELF, NOT ANY ONE PARTICULAR FACILITY, WE NOW HAVE NEW FACILITIES THAT ARE 23 INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT FIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLE-24 25 MENT, SPECIFICALLY THE COAL-FIRE COGENERATION

1	FACILITIES, OF WHICH THE CARNOT REPORT DOCUMENTED A
2	SINGLE TEST BURN.
3	AND SO WHAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DO
4	IS TO, ONE, UPDATE THE POLICY THAT THE BOARD
5	ADOPTED BACK IN 1992, AND TO BRING IT BACK WITH
6	GREATER CONSIDERATION SO THAT THE STAFF WOULD TAKE
7	A MORE PROACTIVE ROLE AROUND THE TECHNOLOGY ITSELF,
8	NOT ANY ONE PARTICULAR FACILITY THAT MAY BE
9	PURSUING A SPECIFIC ACTION, BUT PROVIDING
10	INFORMATION ON THE TECHNOLOGY AS IT MAY RELATE TO
11	THE FACILITY ACTIONS OR AS JUST REQUESTED BY THE
12	GENERAL PUBLIC OR BY LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS.
13	THIS ITEM THAT WILL BE PRESENTED TO
14	YOU BY MARTHA GILDART WILL SUMMARIZE THE POLICY
15	RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING FOR YOUR
16	CONSIDERATION AROUND BOTH THE CEMENT KILNS AS
WELL	
17	AS THE COAL-FIRE COGEN FACILITIES AS IT RELATES
TO	
18	THIS USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: MS. TRGOVCICH, I
THINK	
20	THERE'S A TAPE THAT IS GOING TO EVERYTHING

THAT	
21	WE DEAL WITH IS NEEDS TO BE IN CONTEXT. AND
I	
22	THINK THAT THIS STATE, THIS BOARD HAS DONE A
23	TREMENDOUS JOB IN PUSHING A LOT OF MARKET
24	DEVELOPMENT ISSUES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. THERE
IS	
25	A TAPE THAT WAS PRODUCED BY THIS BOARD TO
AND	26

1

23

THE FIRE MARSHAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO

PREPARE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ON HOW TO DEAL WITH 3 OPEN TIRE FIRES, WHICH IS A PERFECT LEAD-IN BECAUSE OF THE DISCUSSION JUST ON THE PRIOR ITEM. 4 5 BUT I THINK THAT WE NEED TO SEE THE FIRST PART OF THIS TAPE TO LET PEOPLE UNDERSTAND 6 7 EXACTLY WHAT THE ISSUES ARE THAT WE NEED TO DEAL WITH AND HOW TO PUT THEM INTO A CONTEXT THAT'S 8 9 REAL. 10 (TAPE WAS THEN PLAYED AND NOT 11 REPORTED NOR HEREIN TRANSCRIBED.) 12 MEMBER RELIS: FORGOT HOW HIP WE WERE. CHAIRMAN JONES: LITTLE CONTEXT AS TO 13 WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH HERE ON A STATE BASIS. I 14 THINK SOME OF THOSE NUMBERS, THAT REPORT WAS DONE 15 IN 1992 OR '93, AND I THINK WE HAVE BETTER DATA NOW 16 THAT TELLS US WE HAVE A FLOW OF 30 MILLION TIRES A 17 18 YEAR AND A POTENTIAL WASTE TIRES IN LEGACY PILES AT SOMEWHERE AROUND 30 TO 35 MILLION TIRES THAT ARE 19 SITTING OUT THERE IN PILES. THERE WERE THREE TIRE 20 FIRES LAST YEAR IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT I 21 22 KNOW OF, AND WE'VE GOT TO DEAL WITH THIS.

MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE JUST BEFORE MARTHA

24	STARTS,	THAT'S A	A VERY	GOOD	DEPICTIO	ON OF	WHY	WE NEED	
25 <i>i</i>	A RANGE	AND DIV		OF H	ANDLING	OPTIC	NS T	O BE	

- 1 ABLE TO HANDLE BOTH THE ANNUAL FLOW TIRES AS WELL
- 2 AS THE LEGACY TIRES.
- 3 AND TO FURTHER PUT THAT IN CONTEXT TO
- 4 THIS ITEM, WE SAW A NUMBER OF HANDLING OPTIONS
- 5 DESCRIBED AT THE OUTSET OF THE VIDEO THERE, TO USE
- 6 FOR RUBBERIZED ASPHALT, RETREADS, WE SAW MOLDED
- 7 RUBBER PRODUCT PRODUCTION IN THERE, AND THOSE ARE
- 8 ALL IN THE MIX OF HOW WE HANDLE THE TIRES, BUT
- 9 NEITHER ONE OF THOSE HANDLING OPTIONS COULD ADDRESS
- 10 THE ANNUAL FLOW, LET ALONE THE LEGACY TIRES THAT
- 11 ARE OUT THERE RIGHT NOW.
- 12 SO WHAT WE BRING BEFORE YOU IS A
- 13 POLICY CONSIDERATION TO ADD TO THE MIX A VERY GREAT
- 14 POTENTIAL IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO ADDRESS BOTH OF
- 15 THOSE COMPONENTS.
- MS. GILDART: THANK YOU, CAREN. I'VE GOT
- 17 A GRAPH UP THAT SHOWS THE CURRENT ESTIMATE BY STAFF
- 18 OF THE USAGE OF TIRES IN THESE DIFFERENT APPLICA-
- 19 TIONS. WHILE SOME OF THE NUMBERS HAVE CHANGED
- 20 SINCE THE 1992 REPORT AND THEN THE FIRE MARSHAL
- 21 VIDEO, THE PROPORTIONS ARE STILL PRETTY MUCH THE
- 22 SAME. AND THAT IS THAT THE USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL
- 23 SUPPLEMENT IS LARGER THAN ANY OTHER POTENTIAL USE.

24	THAT	'S NO	OT TO	SAY	THA'	r neci	ESSARILY	ALL	FACILITIES
25	THAT	ARE	ABLE	ТО		TIRES	BECAUSE	OF	THE

COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY OR AIR POLLUTION CONTROL 1 2 TECHNOLOGY WILL ACTUALLY WANT TO. WE ARE PREDICTING A MORE CONSERVATIVE 3 4 NUMBER THAT, IF WE WORK WITH SOME OF THE CEMENT KILNS AND THE COAL-FIRED COMBUSTERS, THAT WE MAY 5 SEE NUMBERS IN THE 15 TO 20 MILLION RANGE. 6 7 THE OTHER THING IS THAT WE'VE DONE A LOT OF STUDY, AND WE'LL BE PRESENTING SHORTLY SOME 8 9 OF THE RESULTS OF WHAT -- WE'VE COVERED SOME OF THE RESULTS, AND WE'LL BE SUMMARIZING SOME OF THE 10 COMPARISONS THROUGH THIS POLICY, BUT THE STUDIES 11 HAVE BEEN IN FAR MORE DETAIL OVER THE USE OF TIRES 12 AS FUEL. WE'VE DONE MORE TESTING ON EMISSIONS ON 13 ASH THAN WE HAVE ON SOME OF THE OTHER PRODUCTS. 14 AND PEOPLE HAVE EXPRESSED SOME CONCERN WITH THOSE 15 16 PRODUCTS. WE HAVE RECEIVED PETITIONS FROM 17 INDIVIDUALS CONCERNED ABOUT EXPOSURE OF CHILDREN TO 18 19 PLAYGROUND MATS CONTAINING TIRE RUBBER. WE HAVE HEARD CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY INDIVIDUALS OVER TIRES 20 BEING USED IN LEVEE REPAIR AS WE DISCUSSED IN THE 21 22 PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING. SO ONE OF THE THINGS WE'VE LEARNED 23 OVER THE YEARS OF WORKING ON THE TIRE PROGRAM IS 24 25 THAT ALL POTENTIAL USES FOR TIRES CARRY WITH IT A

- 1 CERTAIN AMOUNT OF CONTROVERSY. I DON'T THINK IT'S
- 2 ANYTHING WE CAN AVOID OR SHOULD.
- THE POLICY, AS CAREN DESCRIBED, IS A
- 4 REVISION TO ONE THAT THE BOARD HAD ACTUALLY PUT IN
- 5 PLACE WITH THE ADOPTION OF THE 1992 REPORT "TIRES
- 6 AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT." AND THIS IS ONE WHERE WE
- 7 WOULD LIKE TO EXPAND IT TO INCLUDE OTHER COAL-

FIRED

8 FACILITIES. SOME OF DATA WE COVERED IN THE

EARLIER

- 9 ITEM WHERE THERE WERE RESULTS FROM PULP AND PAPER
- 10 MILLS WHICH BURNED WOOD OR HOG FUELS, THE FEELING
- 11 WAS THAT THE CHANGES IN EMISSIONS, CHARACTERISTIC
- 12 AND ASSOCIATED RISKS MAY BE GREATER THAN THIS

BOARD

- 13 WOULD WANT TO PROMOTE, BUT THAT WHEN COMPARED TO
- 14 COAL ONLY EMISSIONS, IT'S WITHIN THE RANGE OF
- 15 ACCEPTABLE CHANGE.
- 16 THE DIAGRAMS SHOW BETTER. THIS IS
- 17 FROM THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT. IT SHOWS AFTER

THEY

- 18 WENT THROUGH ALL THE EMISSIONS ANALYSES, LOOKED AT
- 19 THE RANGES, LOOKED AT THE AVERAGES, THEY DID SOME
- 20 BASELINE CALCULATIONS OF RISK AND COMPARED IT
- 21 BEFORE AND AFTER. AND IN THIS CASE THE WHITE BAR

22	GRAPH IS THE TIRE-DERIVED FUEL SUPPLEMENTED
23	COMBUSTION, AND THE BLACK IS BASELINE WHICH WOULD
24	BE COAL OR WOOD ONLY. SEE IF I CAN GET THAT IN A
25	LITTLE MORE. MIGHT LOSE THAT LAST MISCELLANEOUS. 40

1	AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE FIRST PART OF
2	THE GRAPH, IT SHOWS THE CEMENT KILNS, THE
3	COMPARISON THERE OF THE TIRE AND THE BASELINE.
4	THERE'S SOME VARIABILITY. FIRST COUPLE THERE'S A
5	SLIGHT INCREASE IN RISK. THE NUMBERS THERE ON THE
6	SIDE ARE TWO TO THE NEGATIVE 5, WHICH IS ABOUT 20
7	IN A MILLION. AS YOU WORK YOUR WAY TO THE RIGHT,
8	YOU NOTICE THAT THE TIRE-DERIVED FUEL RISK IN
9	COMPARISON TO THE COAL ONLY DECREASES, IN SOME
10	CASES QUITE SIGNIFICANTLY.
11	NOT ALL THE ENERGY FACILITIES HAD
12	DATA ALLOWING THEM TO DO THE CALCULATIONS, BUT THE
13	ONE THAT IS THERE, EO7, ALSO SHOWS A SLIGHT
14	INCREASE. THE THING THAT'S OF INTEREST IS THE
15	PAPER AND PULP MILLS. WE FEEL THAT, WHILE THERE'S
16	ONE THAT SHOWS A DECREASE WITH TIRE FUEL, THERE
ARE	
17	SEVERAL THAT SHOW AN INCREASE.
18	THIS GRAPH JUST SHOWS THE DELTA, THE
19	DIFFERENTIAL, AND IT'S EASIER TO SEE, THAT FOR
MANY	
20	OF THE FACILITIES, THE INCREASE THE DECREASE IS
21	GREATER THAN ANY INCREASE IN RISK. ONCE AGAIN,

22	THESE ARE BASED ON RANGES. THESE ARE VERY ROUGH
23	DATA. BUT WHAT WE SEE WHEN WE LOOK AT CARNOT
24	EMISSIONS DATA OR EVEN SOME OF THE DATA WE
RECEIV	ED
25	EARLIER FROM CAL PORTLAND, THESE FALL IN THAT SAME 41

- 1 RANGE.
- 2 I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU THIS MORE AS A
- 3 COMPARISON TO THE NEXT GRAPH. WE JUST SAW THE
- 4 VIDEO OF THE OPEN BURNING OF TIRES. WE DO HAVE
- 5 SOME LIMITED DATA ON THE SIMULATED OPEN BURN. IF
- 6 YOU WILL NOTICE, THE EMISSIONS ARE GIVEN IN
- 7 MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER. A MILLIGRAM IS ONE
- 8 THOUSANDTH OF A GRAM. A MICROGRAM IS ONE-
- 9 MILLIONTH. SOME OF THE OTHER DATA IS PRESENTED IN
- 10 NANOGRAMS, WHICH IS ONE-BILLIONTH OF A GRAM.
- 11 I WANT TO SHOW YOU SOME INFORMATION
- 12 FROM AN OPEN BURN, AND THE TABLE IS NOT SOMETHING
- 13 THAT'S GOING TO SHOW UP VERY CLEARLY ON THIS
- 14 OVERHEAD, BUT I THINK IF YOU NOTICE WHAT
- 15 MEASUREMENTS THEY ARE USING, WE'RE TALKING
- 16 MILLIGRAMS PER CUBIC METER HERE. THAT'S,
- 17 DEPENDING, A THOUSAND TO A MILLION TIMES
 GREATER
- 18 CONCENTRATIONS THAN WHAT WE EVEN LOOK FOR COMING
- 19 OUT OF THE STACK OF COAL-FIRED COMBUSTER UNITS.
- 20 THIS IS OPEN BURN. WE'RE TALKING MILLIGRAMS

21 CUBIC METER, NOT MICROGRAMS, NOT NANOGRAMS,
NOT
22 PICOGRAMS.
THEY WENT THROUGH A WHOLE LIST
OF
ORGANIC COMPOUNDS HERE JUST TESTING FOR
ANYTHING
25 AND EVERYTHING TO SEE WHAT CAME OFF OF THOS
OPEN 42

BURNS. THE BASIC CONCLUSION IS THAT YOU GET 1 2 SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 1,000 TO ONE MILLION TIMES GREATER CONCENTRATIONS OF MANY OF THESE TOXIC 3 4 COMPOUNDS. A LOT OF THAT, AS STEVE HOWIE POINTED OUT, HAS TO DO WITH THE COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS. 5 YOU DO NOT HAVE COMPLETE COMBUSTION. YOU HAVE 6 LONG-CHAIN HYDROCARBON RING COMPOUNDS, AROMATIC 7 COMPOUNDS COMING OFF OF THESE OPEN TIRE PILES. YOU 8 DO NOT HAVE THAT IN A CEMENT KILN OR A CIRCULATING 9 10 FLUIDIZED BED COMBUSTER ENERGY SOURCE. THEY WANT THAT BROKEN DOWN OXIDATE, THAT CARBON HYDROGEN 11 BOND, THAT'S ENERGY RELEASE THAT THEY ARE 12 CAPTURING. SO WHAT THEY ARE GOING FOR IS THE 13 14 COMPLETE COMBUSTION TO CO2. IF YOU WILL NOTICE IN YOUR PACKET, 15 THERE'S -- AND I'VE MISPLACED MY COPY -- THE ACTUAL 16 17 LANGUAGE OF THE POLICY IS ATTACHMENT 1. I THINK THAT'S PAGE 5. WHAT WE'VE ATTEMPTED TO CAPTURE 18 HERE IS SOME OF THE REMARKS THAT STEVE JONES HAD 19 MADE AT THE BOARD MEETING BACK IN APRIL, AS WELL 20 AS

USING THE EARLIER 1992 REPORT WHERE WE DISCUSSED

22	TIRES AS A FUEL.
23	IN SOME OF THE DISCUSSIONS WITH
BOARD	
24	MEMBER AND THEIR ADVISORS, THERE'S INDICATIONS
THAT	
25	LANGUAGE SHOULD BE ADDED TO THE LIST OF WHEREASES 43

TO PROVIDE FURTHER DOCUMENTATION OF THE FINDINGS. 1 THE POLICY ITSELF STARTS AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 5 2 3 WHERE STAFF WOULD BE DIRECTED, IF THIS POLICY IS ADOPTED, TO DEVELOP AND DISSEMINATE THE INFORMATION 4 5 THAT IS AVAILABLE, TO CONDUCT WORKSHOPS TO HELP EDUCATE THE PUBLIC AND LOCAL DECISION MAKERS, AND 6 TO WORK WITHIN THE REGULATORY SYSTEM TO HELP WITHIN 7 THE PERMIT PROCESS IF THESE FACILITIES WOULD 8 REQUIRE A MAJOR OR MINOR TIRE PERMIT. 9 WE HAVE HAD SOME PROPOSED LANGUAGE 10 11 SUBMITTED TO STAFF. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS YOUR WISHES OR SHOULD WE DISCUSS LANGUAGE? 12 MS. TRGOVCICH: IF YOU WOULD, PERHAPS, WE 13 COULD WAIT? IF YOU DO HAVE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE 14 15 GOING TO COME UP AND TESTIFY ON THIS ISSUE, WE COULD WAIT TO DISCUSS ANY PROPOSED CHANGES SO 16 THAT17 YOU CAN HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THAT DISCUSSION AS 18 WELL. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. YOU KNOW, I ONLY HAVE ONE SLIP. IF THERE'S OTHERS THAT WANT TO 20 SPEAK TO THIS ITEM, THEY NEED TO FILL OUT A 21

F	\cap	R	M

- 22 AND GET IT TO MS. BAKULICH.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE JUST TO

SUMMARIZE,

- 24 I KNOW YOU ARE GOING TO BE CALLING THE FIRST
- 25 SPEAKER, HOPEFULLY THERE WILL BE MORE, THE

BOARD

1	HAS PLACED SIGNIFICANT EMPHASIS ON A VARIETY OF
2	OTHER USES OVER THE PRIOR YEARS. WE'VE SEEN A
3	SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF MONEY, WHILE NOT A USE, GO TO
4	CLEANUP, VERY APPROPRIATELY SO; BUT WITHIN THE USE,
5	REUSE, RECYCLING OPTIONS WE HAVE SEEN SIGNIFICANT
6	FUNDS BEING MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH GRANTS, THROUGH
7	LOCAL CONTRACTS TO PROMOTE PLAYGROUND MATS.
8	AS MARTHA STATED, WE HAVE CONDUCTED
9	THAT PROGRAM MULTIPLE YEARS NOW, GRANTS BEING MADE
10	AVAILABLE TO PROMOTE THE USE OF MOLDED RUBBER
11	PRODUCTS, SO WE'RE ENHANCING THAT MARKET.
12	THE BOARD HAS PROVIDED SIGNIFICANT
13	FUNDING THROUGH THE AVAILABILITY OF TECHNICAL
14	ASSISTANCE AS WELL AS GRANTS FOR THE USE OF
15	RUBBERIZED ASPHALT CONCRETE. WE ALSO HAVE
16	SIGNIFICANT CONTRACT DOLLARS BEING EXPENDED TOWARDS
17	DEVELOPING THE CIVIL ENGINEERING MARKETS.
18	SO WE HAVE PUT BOTH OUR STAFF
19	RESOURCES AS WELL AS OUR DOLLAR RESOURCES TOWARDS
20	THE RANGE OF USES OUT THERE. IF YOU GO BACK TO
21	THAT FIRST CHART THAT MARTHA HAD UP THERE, THE
22	POTENTIAL FOR THIS MARKET IS FAR GREATER THAN ANY
23	OF THOSE OTHERS, AND WE HAVE PROVIDED VERY LITTLE

24	SUPPORT	IN TERMS	OF THIS	TECHNOL	OGY, BOTH	IN	THE
25	FORM OF	STAFF RE	SOURCES .	AS WELL .	AS DOLLAR	S.	THE

- 1 FIRST TIME WE HAVE PROVIDED DOLLARS HAS REALLY BEEN
- 2 IN TERMS OF THE REPORTS THAT ARE ON YOUR AGENDA
- 3 TODAY. SO WE'RE LOOKING AT TRYING TO PROVIDE A
- 4 BALANCE HERE. AND WITH THAT, I'LL CONCLUDE.
- 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: I HAVE ONE SPEAKER. DO
- 6 ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WANT TO SPEAK OR DO YOU
- 7 WANT TO LISTEN TO THE --
- 8 MEMBER PENNINGTON: LET'S LISTEN TO THE
- 9 SPEAKERS.
- 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. BENNETT. MR. JOHN
- 11 BENNETT.
- MR. BENNETT: MR. CHAIRMAN, POLICY
- 13 COMMITTEE MEMBERS, MY COMMENTS WILL BE BRIEF. MY
- 14 NAME IS JOHN BENNETT. I REPRESENT THE CALIFORNIA
- 15 CEMENT MANUFACTURERS ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION. I'M
- 16 HERE REALLY TO REMIND YOU OF AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE
- 17 WORK THAT YOUR STAFF HAS DONE IN PREPARING THIS
- 18 INFORMATION, AS WELL AS THEIR DIRECTION WITH
- 19 RESPECT TO ADDITIONAL REPORTS, FOR INSTANCE, THE
- 20 DAMES & MOORE REPORT, THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR YOU
- ТО
- 21 COME BACK AND REVISIT THIS POLICY BECAUSE, IN FACT,
- 22 WHAT YOU ARE DOING, I THINK, IS REAFFIRMING A
- 23 POLICY THAT YOU'VE HAD IN PLACE.

24				HOW	EVER,	WE	ARE	THE	BENE	FICIA	RIES	
OF												
25	NOW	FOUR	OR	FIVE	YEARS 46	OF	MAT	URINC	∃ IN	THIS		

INDUSTRY. YOU'VE SEEN FACILITY AFTER FACILITY IN 1 2 THE CEMENT INDUSTRY COME ON-LINE AND CONDUCT VERY RIGOROUS SOURCE TESTING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE 3 4 STATE'S AB 2588, WHICH MEASURES TOXIC AIR EMISSIONS, BOTH BEFORE AND AFTER THIS TYPE OF 5 6 ACTIVITY. 7 SO YOU HAVE A VERY LONG HISTORY NOW OF INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES, AS WELL AS THE SUMMARY 8 9 PROVIDED BY DAMES & MOORE, OF WHAT WE'RE CAPABLE OF DOING AND WHAT POTENTIALLY NOW IS CAPABLE WITH THE 10 CARNOT STUDIES OF OTHER INDUSTRIES, SPECIFICALLY 11 THE FLUIDIZED-BED BOILER INDUSTRY. 12 13 AND IT REMINDS ME OF WHERE WE WERE AΤ 14 A RECYCLING CONFERENCE PUT ON BY THIS BOARD AND THE 15 OPENING COMMENTS BY ONE OF YOUR FORMER BOARD 16 MEMBERS, WHO IS HERE WITH US TODAY, MR. EGIGIAN, 17 BECAUSE HE REALLY VISITED THAT ISSUE IN HIS OPENING 18 COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO WHERE WE MIGHT BE IF CEMENT KILNS WERE PROVIDED WITH MORE SUPPORT FOR 19 20 AND WERE THE BENEFICIARIES OF ACTION BY THIS BOARD.

21	AND SO I ENCOURAGE YOU TODAY TO ADOPT THIS POLICY,
22	TO REAFFIRM YOUR COMMITMENT TO GETTING OUT IN THE
23	COMMUNITY AND MAKING THE ISSUES UNDERSTOOD BECAUSE
24	CERTAINLY EACH FACILITY NEEDS TO STAND ON ITS OWN
25	DURING THE PERMITTING PROCESS, BUT THERE ARE
ISSUES	

- 1 WITH RESPECT TO THIS TECHNOLOGY THAT ARE MUCH MORE
- 2 APPROPRIATE FOR A BODY SUCH AS YOURSELF AND YOUR
- 3 STAFF TO BE ADDRESSING ALONG WITH, IN CONCERT WITH
- 4 THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD AND OWEHA AND STATE
- 5 AGENCIES TO STAND UP FOR THE SCIENTIFIC PROCEDURES
- 6 THAT ARE EMBODIED IN YOUR REGULATIONS AND TO GET
- 7 OUT FRONT OF THESE ISSUES BECAUSE CLEARLY WE NEED
- 8 TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE LOCATION AND THE TYPE OF
- 9 INDUSTRY AND THE VOLUME OF MATERIALS THAT WE CAN
- 10 HANDLE OUT THERE TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM AND GET RID
- 11 OF THESE LEGACY PILES AND GET ON WITH MANAGING THE
- 12 DAILY FLOW IN PERPETUITY.
- 13 AND I APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT IN
- 14 THIS, AND, AGAIN, I URGE YOUR QUICK ACCEPTANCE OF
- 15 THIS AND FORWARD TO THE FULL BOARD.
- 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: ANY QUESTIONS? AND MR.
- 17 WILLIAM LAX.
- MR. LAX: GOOD MORNING, BOARD MEMBERS.
- 19 MY NAME IS WILLIAM LAX. I REPRESENT AN ENERGY
- 20 PLANT, JACKSON VALLEY ENERGY, OUT OF IONE,
- 21 CALIFORNIA.
- 22 MY ONLY CONCERN IS THE COAL-FIRED
- 23 LANGUAGE. WE ARE A LIGNITE-FIRED PLANT, POOR MAN'S

24	COAL.	WE	BURN	RC	UGHLY	TWO	AND	A	HALF	ТС) THRE	ΞE
25	MILLION	I OR	COUL	٦D	BURN	ROUGH	ILY	TWC	AND	Α	HALF	то
					4	8						

- 1 THREE MILLION TIRES A YEAR, AND WE'RE ONLY A
- 2 17-MEGAWATT PLANT. I GUESS MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT
- 3 THE LIGNITE-FIRED PLANT BE CONSIDERED THE SAME AS A
- 4 COAL-FIRED PLANT. THAT'S MY ONLY COMMENTS. THANK
- 5 YOU.
- 6 MEMBER PENNINGTON: ISN'T LIGNITE,
- 7 THOUGH, GENERALLY KNOWN AS COAL?
- 8 MR. LAX: WE RECOGNIZE IT AS THAT. IT'S
- 9 MINED VERY SIMILAR TO COAL.
- 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. ANY COMMENTS FROM
- 11 BOARD MEMBERS?
- 12 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, I'M PREPARED TO
- MAKE A MOTION, BUT I DO WANT TO SAY THAT I'D LIKE
- 14 TO MAKE IT CLEAR THERE'S COMMENTS IN THESE LETTERS
- 15 THAT WE DIDN'T PROPERLY NOTICE THIS. AND I DO KNOW
- 16 THAT WHEN THE AGENDA ITEM WAS PREPARED AND ISSUED,
- 17 THAT WE MADE SURE THAT THE STATE SIERRA CLUB WAS
- 18 NOTIFIED AND CALIFORNIANS AGAINST WASTE WAS
- 19 NOTIFIED. YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE HAVE A
- 20 LIST OF EVERY SIERRA CLUB OR EVERY THREE PEOPLE
- 21 THAT GET TOGETHER IN THE STATE, BUT I WOULD
- 22 CERTAINLY THINK THAT IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF
- 23 THE STATE SIERRA CLUB TO MAKE SURE THAT ITS
- 24 CHAPTERS AND UNITS KNOW IF THERE'S A PROBLEM.
- 25 SO I DO WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT

- 1 EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS THAT I THINK WE DID PROPERLY
 2 NOTICE EVERYBODY AND THAT WE DID IT WITHIN THE TIME
- 3 FRAMES. I'M SORRY THAT THESE PEOPLE DIDN'T GET
- 4 NOTICE FROM THEIR STATE ORGANIZATION BUT ONE DAY
- 5 AHEAD OF TIME, BUT THEY DON'T SEEM TO BE HERE.
- 6 SO I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE
- 7 THAT WE APPROVE RESOLUTION 97-425, THE ADOPTION OF
- 8 THE POLICY TO SUPPORT USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL
- 9 SUPPLEMENT.
- 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: IS THERE A SECOND?
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I'D LIKE TO
- 12 SPEAK TO THE MOTION AND FIRST HAVE SOME DISCUSSION,
- 13 IF WE COULD, OF THE POLICY. LET ME START BY JUST
- 14 LAYING OUT A FRAMEWORK THAT'S -- THAT I'M GOING TO
- 15 USE TO GUIDE MY DECISION ON THIS.
- 16 WE'VE BEEN WORKING ABOUT SIX YEARS ON
- 17 THE TIRE PROBLEM, SEVEN YEARS FORMALLY AS A BOARD.
- 18 WE'VE MADE SOME GOOD PROGRESS, CERTAINLY IN THE
- 19 DIVERSION SIDE. WE'VE DEVELOPED SOME MARKETS.
- 20 WE'VE CLEANED UP SOME PILES. MOST NOTABLY OF LATE
- 21 WE'VE -- WE THINK WE'LL BE CLEANING UP THE MELP
- 22 PILE UNDER OUR CURRENT AGREEMENT, AND THAT WILL
- 23 BE -- THOSE TIRES WILL BE INCINERATED.
- SO CLEARLY, AS WE SIT, WE DO NOT

HAVE

25 A MARKET FOR TIRES TO BE USED ALL AS CRUMB RUBBER,

ALL AS RECYCLED PRODUCTS, OR ALTERNATIVES OF THAT 1 SORT, AND I RECOGNIZE THAT. I'M VERY CONCERNED 2 3 ABOUT THE LEGACIES OUT THERE AND THEIR ENVIRON-MENTAL IMPACT AS HAS BEEN ILLUSTRATED HERE. 4 5 NOW, GENERALLY SPEAKING, AND THIS IS A FEW QUESTIONS TO STAFF, NOW, WHAT'S THE RELATION-6 SHIP. THERE'S BEEN A RISK REFERENCED HERE. AND 7 NORMALLY -- OR WHAT IS OUR REFERENCE TO AWEHA WHEN 8 IT COMES TO MATTERS OF RISK ASSESSMENT? DO WE HAVE 9 10 ANY CORRELATION WE HAVE TO MAKE WITH THEM? HAVE 11 THEY SEEN THIS INFORMATION? DO THEY NEED TO SEE THIS INFORMATION? 12 MS. GILDART: THEY WOULD NORMALLY BE 13 INVOLVED AT TWO DIFFERENT POINTS WITHIN THIS KIND 14 OF PROCESS. ONE IS THEY ARE THE GOVERNING BODY 15 THAT SETS UP THE PROCESS, THE METHODS THAT ARE USED 16 BY THE LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENT 17 AGENCY TO CALCULATE RISK. SO THEY'RE THERE AT THE 18 19 BEGINNING. THEY DO HAVE THE WHEREWITHAL, UPON 20 REQUEST, I GUESS SOMETIMES TENDERING OF FUNDS TO 21

CONDUCT SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENTS FOR OTHER STATE

AGENCIES. I KNOW WE'VE ENTERED INTO THAT KIND OF

22

24 AI	N AGRE	EMENT	IN	THE	PAST	, AN	INT	CERAGENCY	WITH	THEM
25 TO	O LOOK	AT A	PAI	RTICU	JLAR 51	TYPE	OF	ACTIVITY	THE	BOARD

WAS GOING TO UNDERTAKE, AND THEY COULD RUN HEALTH 1 2 RISK ASSESSMENT ON THOSE ACTIVITIES. 3 MEMBER RELIS: WOULD THAT BE ANY DIFFERENT 4 THAN WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IN THE ANALYSIS THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED IN THE TWO STUDIES? 5 MS. GILDART: THEY WOULD HAVE TO TURN TO 6 7 THE SAME DATA. THEY WOULD PROBABLY MAKE THE SAME ASSUMPTIONS IN CALCULATING ANY RISK, BUT EACH 8 INDIVIDUAL SCIENTIST CONDUCTING THE STUDY MIGHT 9 MAKE SOME MINOR DIFFERENT ASSUMPTIONS. I WOULDN'T 10 SAY IT WOULD BE AN EXACT DUPLICATION. 11 MEMBER RELIS: AND THEN THE AIR RESOURCES 12 BOARD, WHICH WE ARE THE WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD. 13 WE'RE NOT THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD. WE RELY -- WHY 14 I RAISED THE EARLIER QUESTION ABOUT THE TESTS BEING 15 DONE IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE PROTOCOL 16 CALLED FOR BY, I GUESS, NOW I'VE HEARD EITHER U.S. 17 EPA OR IN THE CASE OF WHICHEVER STANDARD IS MORE 18 19 STRICT THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD. DO WE -- WHAT HAS SPECIFICALLY BEEN OUR RELATIONSHIP 20 WITH THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD VIS-A-VIS THIS ISSUE?. 21 22 MS. GILDART: WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THEM FOR SEVERAL YEARS. WE HAVE HAD INTERAGENCY 23 AGREEMENTS WHERE THEY DID TESTING AT ONE OF THE 24

CEMENT KILNS FOR US, ONE OF THE LONG KILNS. WE HAD

THEM REVIEW THE DAMES & MOORE STUDY. THEY 1 2 SUBMITTED COMMENTS TO US WHICH WE THEN FORWARDED TO DAMES & MOORE. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH 3 4 THE AIR BOARD. THEY DO NOT MAKE DECISIONS ON 5 SPECIFIC PERMIT ISSUES. THEY'D RATHER SET THE STANDARDS OR THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY OR TEST 6 7 METHOD. MEMBER RELIS: BUT IN REGARDS TO THE 8 9 POLICY DISCUSSION BEFORE US, IN THIS PROPOSED POLICY THE BOARD WOULD BE TAKING A POSITION OF 10 11 BEING SUPPORTIVE OF THE DIRECTION OF UTILIZING INCINERATION IN CERTAIN CONTEXT FOR BURNING THE 12 13 TIRES, AND OUR REFERENCE AGENCY, I GUESS YOU WILL, WOULD BE THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD SINCE WE DO NOT 14 DO 15 THAT AS A PRACTICE. LIKE IF IT'S A WATER ISSUE, WE 16 HAVE WATER -- THE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD. 17 SO THEY'RE A KEY PLAYER IN THIS. 18 AND I'M JUST -- WE'VE HAD ONGOING 19 DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM. THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED ΙN 20 SETTING UP OR LOOKING AT THE TESTING PROTOCOLS THAT

21	WERE TO BE USED OR NOT?
22	MS. GILDART: YES. THEY IN SOME OF
THE	
23	TEST CASES, YES, THEY HAVE ACTUALLY REVIEWED THE
24	PROTOCOLS, COMMENTED ON THEM, AND WORKED WITH US
OR	
25	WITH THE ACTUAL TESTING AGENCY. THEY CONDUCTED 53

TESTS AT ONE OF THE CEMENT KILNS COUPLE OF YEARS 1 AGO UNDER AN AGREEMENT WITH US WHERE WE PAID THE 2 3 COST OF THEIR TEST. THAT WAS PART OF THE DATA SET THAT WAS EVALUATED BY DAMES & MOORE. THEY REVIEWED 4 5 THE REPORT ITSELF AND COMMENTED ON IT. THEY ARE NOT IN A POSITION REALLY TO MAKE A POLICY б STATEMENT, BUT THEY WOULD NOT COME OUT IN 7 OPPOSITION TO THE POLICY STATEMENT THE BOARD IS 8 9 CONSIDERING. 10 I GET THE SENSE SOMEBODY WANTS TO 11 MAKE A COMMENT ON THAT. MR. BENNETT: MAY I ADDRESS MR. RELIS' 12 QUESTION VERY BRIEFLY? THIS YEAR IN CONSIDERATION 13 OF A BILL, ASSEMBLYWOMAN BOWEN, CHAIRMAN OF THE 14 15 ASSEMBLY NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE, HELD HEARINGS WITH RESPECT TO THIS, AND TESTIMONY WAS PROVIDED 16 DURING THAT HEARING BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD, 17 SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, AND 18 KERN COUNTY APCD, ALL OF WHICH SUPPORTED 19 SPECIFICALLY THE USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL IN CEMENT 20 KILNS, AND SPOKE DIRECTLY TO BOTH THE RISK 21 22 ASSESSMENT ISSUE AND THE EMISSIONS.

MEMBER RELIS: SO THERE WAS TESTIMONY

24 GIVEN -25 MR. BENNETT: SPECIFICALLY ON THIS. AND

- 1 THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD REPRESENTATIVES SUPPORTED
- 2 THIS USE AND HAD INDICATED THEY REVIEWED THE MOST
- 3 CURRENT EMISSION INFORMATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT
- 4 PROVIDED BY CEMENT KILNS.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: OKAY. WELL, THAT BRINGS
- 6 ME THEN TO COMMENT I MADE TO STAFF IN MY BRIEFING.
- 7 CONCERNING THE -- THE WAY THE POLICY IS SET FORTH
- 8 AT THIS MOMENT, I WOULD NEED TO SEE THE SCIENTIFIC
- 9 REFERENCES MADE TO THE FINDINGS, THE SPECIFIC
- 10 FINDINGS THAT BUTTRESS A POLICY. MEANING I THINK I
- 11 REFERENCE THE -- THERE'S AIR RESOURCES BOARD DATA.
- 12 THERE ARE TWO REPORTS, ONE OF WHICH WE'VE ADOPTED,
- 13 THE OTHER WE ARE WAITING FURTHER STAFF REVIEW OF.
- 14 SO I COULDN'T SUPPORT THIS TODAY WITHOUT THAT
- 15 LANGUAGE IN THERE AND THE REFERENCES.
- 16 I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A CHANCE TO
- 17 LOOK OVER. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S IN HERE WITH THIS.
- 18 WE GOT A PILE OF MATERIAL, AND I'D LIKE TO SEE
- 19 STAFF'S RESPONSE TO WHAT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED BY WAY
- OF LETTERS IF THIS COULD BE DONE BEFORE THE BOARD
- 21 MEETING.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: WELL --
- 23 MEMBER RELIS: I THINK I MADE MY

- 24 COMMENTS, MY CONCERNS ABOUT THE SCIENTIFIC
- 25 REFERENCE IN THE POLICY BECAUSE THIS IS A POLICY.

- 1 I WOULD HOLD IT TRUE IN ANY ISSUE THAT WE MIGHT
- 2 TAKE A MORE ACTIVE ROLE IN SUPPORT OF, COULD BE
- 3 COMPOST, COULD BE OTHER AREAS WHERE WE HAVE THE
- 4 BODY OF EVIDENCE THAT WE'RE RELYING ON TO TAKE US
- 5 IN THE DIRECTION THE POLICY SPEAKS TO. AND AT
- 6 PRESENT THIS WRITEUP DOESN'T DO IT FOR ME AT THAT
- 7 LEVEL.
- 8 MS. TRGOVCICH: WOULD IT WORK FOR YOU FOR
- 9 US TO MODIFY THE LANGUAGE WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE
- 10 RESOLUTION TO REFER TO -- REMEMBER, THIS WILL BE A
- 11 BOARD RESOLUTION, AND AT THAT TIME THE DAMES &
- 12 MOORE REPORT WILL BE BACK. AS I INDICATED AROUND
- 13 THE DAMES & MOORE REPORT, WE HAVE NO ISSUE WITH THE
- 14 ANALYSIS OR THE FINDINGS OF THAT REPORT. IT IS A
- 15 FORMAT ISSUE, SO NONE OF THE INFORMATION WILL
- 16 CHANGE SO THAT WE REFER TO THE ADOPTION OF THOSE
- 17 REPORTS, ACCEPTANCE OF THOSE REPORTS AND THE
- 18 INFORMATION CONTAINED.
- 19 MEMBER RELIS: WELL, THE CONCLUSIONS OF
- THOSE REPORTS.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: THE FINDINGS.
- 22 MEMBER RELIS: YES, THE FINDINGS. I
- 23 THINK IT'S CRITICAL -- WE'RE MAKING -- THERE'S A
- 24 SCIENTIFIC BASIS FOR A POLICY, AND RIGHT NOW WE
- 25 DON'T HAVE THE REFERENCE TO THAT.

```
MS. GILDART: I COULD PROPOSE SOME
 1
      LANGUAGE THAT WE COULD ADD TO THE RESOLUTION, OR IS
 2
 3
      THAT SOMETHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE STAFF?
               MEMBER RELIS: WELL, I'M NOT PREPARED TO
 4
 5
      DO THIS OFF THE CUFF. I'D LIKE TO SEE THE STAFF.
 б
               MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I
      ASK, MR. RELIS, WHAT YOU WANT IN THE RESOLUTION IS
 7
      SOMETHING THAT REFERS TO THESE REPORTS AND THEIR
 8
 9
      CONCLUSIONS.
10
               MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT, I
      THINK, TO THIS MEMBER.
11
12
               MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, I'D BE PREPARED
      TO WITHDRAW MY MOTION AND MAKE A MOTION THAT WE
13
14
      MOVE IT TO THE FULL BOARD, IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT
15
      TO DO.
               CHAIRMAN JONES: MARTHA, I THINK, AS PART
16
      OF THE BRIEFINGS THAT THEY HAD, MAY HAVE DEVELOPED
17
      SOME -- I DON'T KNOW IF THAT HAPPENED OR NOT.
18
               MS. GILDART: IN THE DISCUSSIONS WE HAD
19
      WITH VARIOUS BOARD MEMBERS, THERE ARE MORE THAN ONE
20
      PROPOSAL MADE. AND I'VE ATTEMPTED TO CAPTURE SOME
21
22
      OF THOSE THOUGHTS. AS I SAID, MY COMPUTER WAS
      FROZEN THIS MORNING AND I HAD HOPED TO HAVE IT
23
```

24	WRITI	ΓEN	UP	WHE	ERE	Ι	COL	JLD	HA	ND	IT	ΟÜ	JT.	BUT	IF	YO	U
25	WILL	ALL	OW	ME	JUS	ST	ТО	REA	AD	IT	INI	0.	THE	REC	ORD,	, W	E
							Ę	57									

- 1 CAN AT LEAST DISCUSS IT.
- 2 ONE PROPOSAL WAS TO BE MORE SPECIFIC
- 3 IN THE ACTUAL TITLE OF THE POLICY SO THAT IT WOULD
- 4 READ, "POLICY TO SUPPORT THE USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL
- 5 SUPPLEMENT IN COAL-FIRED COMBUSTION UNITS THAT
- 6 WOULD SPECIFY COAL-FIRED FACILITIES." AND I THINK,
- 7 GIVEN THE COMMENT MADE EARLIER IT WOULD INCLUDE
- 8 LIGNITE.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: YES. AND I THINK THAT'S
- 10 RESPONSIVE TO WHAT I UNDERSTOOD THE WORK THAT HAD
- 11 BEEN DONE FOR THESE PARTICULAR REPORTS.
- 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: RIGHT.
- 13 MEMBER RELIS: IF I'M -- AM I CORRECT ON
- 14 THAT?
- 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: YEAH.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: THAT WASN'T A WHOLE
- 17 UNIVERSE.
- MS. GILDART: THERE WERE SOME WOOD-FIRED
- 19 FACILITIES, BUT THEIR EMISSION CHANGES WERE GREATER
- 20 IN COMPARISON TO THE COALS, SO I THINK THE DATA AND
- 21 THE RESULTS WOULD SUPPORT THE COAL-FIRED
- 22 SPECIFICATION.
- 23 AS FAR AS THE FINDINGS, THAT LIST OF

- WHEREASES, I'VE GOT THREE POSSIBLE TO ADD. I'LL
- JUST READ THEM.

1	WHEREAS THE BOARD HAS DETERMINED THAT
2	USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT WILL REDUCE THE
3	POTENTIAL PUBLIC HEALTH RISK AND ENVIRONMENTAL
4	DAMAGE THAT MIGHT RESULT FROM UNCONTROLLED TIRE
5	FIRES; AND, WHEREAS, FACILITIES USING TIRES AS A
6	FUEL SUPPLEMENT HAVE BEEN TESTED AND EMISSIONS HAVE
7	BEEN FOUND TO BE WITHIN REGULATORY STANDARDS AND
8	MAY RESULT IN OVERALL REDUCTION; AND, WHEREAS,
9	ANALYSIS OF EMISSIONS DATA AS CONDUCTED BY DAMES &
10	MOORE, CARNOT, AND OTHER OPERATORS HAVE FOUND THAT
11	NO STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN RISK
12	OCCURS."
13	AND THEN THE OTHER LIST OF WHEREASES,
14	WHICH APPEAR IN YOUR PACKET. WOULD THOSE BE
15	SPECIFIC
16	MEMBER RELIS: I THINK THAT'S THE
17	DIRECTION. I'D CLEARLY LIKE TO SEE THAT IN
18	WRITING, SO
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: WE HAVE TO UNFREEZE HER
20	COMPUTER.
21	MEMBER PENNINGTON: WHY DON'T WE MOVE IT
22	FORWARD WITH THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE LANGUAGE
23	BE WORKED OUT SATISFACTORY TO THE FULL BOARD.

24			ME	MBER	REL	IS:	AN	D	WHAT	IS	THE		AGA	IN
25	I'VE	GOT	A	PILE	OF	STUFF 59	I	HA	VEN''	T E	VEN	L00	KED	ΑΊ

- 1 HERE. WHAT -- CAN STAFF GO THROUGH THE COMMENTS
- 2 THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED AND DISCUSS THESE IN THE
- 3 CONTEXT OF THE POLICY?
- 4 MS. TRGOVCICH: WE CAN CERTAINLY GO
- 5 THROUGH THE COMMENTS. I DON'T THINK WE WOULD BE
- 6 RESPONDING POINT BY POINT. I THINK THERE IS
- 7 GROUPINGS OF COMMENTS. THERE'S GROUPINGS AROUND
- 8 INTERPRETATION OF DATA AS IT RELATES TO INCREASE IN
- 9 EMISSIONS. AND I THINK THAT A LOT OF THE COMMENTS
- 10 REFLECT TENFOLD, HUNDREDFOLD INCREASES, BUT THAT
- 11 ALL NEEDS TO BE PUT INTO CONTEXT IN TERMS OF THE
- 12 UNIVERSE OF DATA AS WELL AS THAT THE LIMITS OF
- 13 DETECTION MAY BE REFLECTED, ETC. SO THERE'S A
- 14 WHOLE VARIETY OF FACTORS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE
- 15 DISCUSSED. SO WE WOULD NOT GO THROUGH THE
- 16 INDIVIDUAL COMMENTS, BUT MORE A DISCUSSION OF THE
- 17 DATA IN GENERAL.
- AND THEN THERE SEEMS TO BE, AND
- 19 GRANTED, I JUST RECEIVED THIS THIS MORNING AS WELL,
- 20 AN ISSUE AS IT PERTAINS TO CEOA AND DOES THE
- 21 BOARD'S ADOPTION OF A POLICY HAVE TO GO THROUGH
- 22 CEQA. MY INITIAL VIEW IS, GOSH, WE'VE ADOPTED A
- 23 LOT OF POLICIES IN THE PLANNING ARENA, PERMITTING
- 24 AND ENFORCEMENT ARENA, ETC., BUT WE WILL CERTAINLY
- 25 WORK WITH THE LEGAL OFFICE ON COMING BACK WITH A

RESPONSE TO THAT ISSUE. 1 CHAIRMAN JONES: WE HAVE A POLICY. THERE 2 3 WAS A 1992 THAT DEALT WITH THIS, AND I THINK ALL WE'RE DOING IS REAFFIRMING THAT POLICY BECAUSE OF 4 5 THE CONDITIONS THAT HAVE CHANGED. THE -- I THINK AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT 6 THESE LETTERS ARE IS FINE. I DO WANT TO INCLUDE 7 THAT RICHARD DRURY, WHO IS THE ATTORNEY FOR THE 8 COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, CALLED ME ON 9 FRIDAY. AND I THINK ONE OF THE REASONS THAT THIS 10 11 POLICY IS EVEN BEING LOOKED AT IN A MORE FORMALIZED VOICE IS BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF HYSTERIA AND 12 LIBERAL INTERPRETATION OF TEST RESULTS AT DIFFERENT 13 14 HEARINGS. I KNOW IT WAS ALWAYS THE -- AS I 15 UNDERSTAND -- IT'S ALWAYS BEEN MY UNDERSTANDING 16 THAT WE NEEDED TO PROMOTE THE USE OF TDF, BUT NOT 17 18 THE SPECIFIC PROJECT. YOU KNOW, THAT WE NEEDED TO SIT AT A TABLE AT A HEARING AND TALK ABOUT THE 19 POLICY OF THE BOARD, WHICH IS TO MINIMIZE THE 20 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK BY HAVING OPEN BURNING, AND 21

IS A MANAGERED WAY TO DEAL WITH IT AND TALK ABOUT

THIS

23	WHEN PEOPLE SAY THAT A CERTAIN CHEMICAL REACTION
OR	
24	A CERTAIN DIOXINS GO UP A HUNDRED PERCENT IN
THE	
25	TEST. THAT DIOXIN MAY GO UP A HUNDRED PERCENT,
BUT	C1
	61

WHAT IS THE LEVEL THAT IS IN EXCESS? IS IT 2,000 1 PERCENT? IS IT 10,000 PERCENT BEFORE YOU GET TO 2 3 THAT NUMBER? 4 AND DIOXIN MAY HAVE BEEN THE WRONG 5 EXAMPLE TO USE BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE STANDARD IS, BUT LIKE A NOX TEST WHERE THERE IS 6 CLEARLY A STANDARD THAT IS SET THAT ARE THE 7 MINIMUM -- THAT ARE THE MINIMUM THRESHOLDS, AND 8 IT'S EASY TO TALK ABOUT 2 AND 300-PERCENT INCREASES 9 10 IF, IN FACT, TO REACH THAT MINIMUM THRESHOLD BY 11 THEIR MATHEMATICAL CALCULATIONS WOULD TAKE SOMEWHERE IN THE MAGNITUDE OF A 2,000 PERCENT 12 INCREASE. I DON'T THINK IT'S FAIR TO LOCAL 13 DECISION MAKERS TO ONLY GET PART OF THAT 14 15 DISCUSSION. AND I THINK WHAT THIS POLICY WOULD 16 END UP DOING WOULD BE HAVING STAFF AT THE THING AT 17 18 A HEARING NOT PROMOTING THE LOCAL ISSUE, BUT PROMOTING THE POLICY, THAT WE NEED TO MANAGE TIRES 19 IN A RESPONSIBLE MANNER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 20 TO MINIMIZE THE RISK. 21

ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT CAME FORWARD

IN MY DISCUSSION WITH MR. DRURY AND THEN A LETTER

22

24	THAT	FOLL(OWED	TO	DAY	WAS	THAT		YOU	KN	OW	, F	ΙE	KEPT	
25	REFER	RRING	TO '	THE	CY	SCHW 62	ARTZ	REP	ORT	AS	A	CAI	JIF	ORNIA	

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT REPORT. THAT'S NOT A 1 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT REPORT 2 3 BECAUSE THE WASTE BOARD DID NOT ACCEPT THE REPORT. WE MAY HAVE PAID FOR IT, BUT WE DID NOT ACCEPT IT. 4 5 WE DIDN'T ACCEPT IT BECAUSE IT DID NOT SHOW THE 6 PROS AND CONS. I THINK IF YOU REFER -- IF YOU LOOK 7 AT THE RESOLUTION, WE SAY VERY CLEARLY IN THE 8 RESOLUTION THAT A BRIEF DOCUMENT DESCRIBING THE USE 9 OF TIRES AS FUEL SUPPLEMENT AND ITS EFFECTS BOTH 10 11 PRO AND CON WILL BE PREPARED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD AND DISTRIBUTED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. THAT'S 12 WHAT WE NEED TO DO. WE DON'T NEED TO ALWAYS BE OUT 13 THERE AGREEING. WE NEED TO MAKE AVAILABLE THE 14 INFORMATION THAT WILL LET LOCAL JURISDICTIONS VOTE 15 ON ISSUES THAT IMPACT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. AND WE 16 NEED TO GIVE THEM A FAIR SNAPSHOT OF THAT BECAUSE 17 THOSE SHAPSHOTS ARE NOT ALWAYS FAIR. 18 THE OTHER THING THAT MR. DRURY 19 BROUGHT UP -- WELL, HE SAYS IN HERE SINCE THE WASTE 20 BOARD COULDN'T BUY OFF THE SCIENTISTS TO SUPPORT 21 22 THEIR PREDETERMINED CONCLUSIONS, I WOULD SAY THAT

CY SCHWARTZ IS A POLITICAL SCIENTIST, NOT A

24	CHEMICAL	SCIENTIS	Γ.					
25		THE	OTHER	THING	THAT	THEY	SAID	WAS
			63					

- 1 THAT WE DON'T HAVE -- THAT WE'RE NOT SCIENTISTS ON
- 2 THIS BOARD AND THAT WE SHOULDN'T BE MAKING THOSE
- 3 POLICY DECISIONS. WELL, IF YOU TAKE THAT LOGIC

OUT

- 4 EVEN FURTHER THAN THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED
- 5 STATES, THE CONGRESS, THE SENATE, THE STATE
- 6 LEGISLATURES, EVERYBODY THAT DEALS WITH POLICY FOR
- 7 THIS COUNTRY AND THIS STATE IS NOT ALLOWED TO MAKE
- 8 LAY DECISIONS BASED ON SCIENTIFIC DATA. I DON'T
- 9 AGREE WITH THAT.
- 10 I THINK OUR JOB IS THAT SOMEBODY

FELT

- 11 THAT WE HAD ENOUGH INTELLIGENCE TO LOOK AT THIS
- 12 INFORMATION AND MAKE A DECISION, AND I THINK THAT
- 13 THAT IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT. I'M NOT A SCIENTIST.
- 14 I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE SCIENCE. THAT'S WHY I

ASKED

- 15 THAT A BAR GRAPH BE PUT UP. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT
- 16 THE STANDARD IS. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE MINIMAL
- 17 THRESHOLD IS, AND I WANT TO KNOW WHERE THIS IS IN
- 18 RELATION TO THAT SO THAT PEOPLE CAN MAKE DECISIONS
- 19 BASED ON REAL SCIENCE.

20	AND I LIKE THOSE WHEREASES. I THINK
21	THOSE WHEREASES I WISH THE COMPUTER HADN'T
22	FROZEN UP.
23	MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, WELL, IN LIGHT
24	OF YOUR COMMENTS, MR. JONES, AND LET ME JUST ADD,
I	
25	THINK JUST FRAMING THIS WHOLE DISCUSSION AGAIN, 64

WHATEVER THIS POLICY BECOMES, IN OTHER WORDS, WITH 1 THE WHEREASES AND THE ADDITION, IT'S ALL THE MORE 2 3 IMPORTANT, BASED ON WHAT YOU SAID, THAT I THINK WE GET THE SCIENTIFIC FRAMEWORK SET FORTH AS A BASIS 4 5 FOR POLICY. THAT'S WHY I THINK THE CITATIONS BECOME EXTREMELY IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE ARE NOT 6 7 EVALUATING THIS AS SCIENTISTS. THAT'S BEING DONE BY OTHER PARTIES WHO ARE IN A POSITION TO MAKE 8 THAT 9 ANALYSIS. 10 SECONDLY, I DON'T HAVE ANY ILLUSIONS THAT IF THE POLICY IS ADOPTED, THAT IT'S GOING TO 11 BE A CAKE WALK FOR THE CEMENT -- FOR THE TDF 12 13 INDUSTRY. THEY HAVE TO MAKE IT ON THEIR OWN AT THE LOCAL LEVEL. THIS MIGHT ADD PERSPECTIVE, AN 14 APPROPRIATE STATE PERSPECTIVE ON TRYING TO -- OUR 15 16 TAKE ON TRYING TO DEAL WITH A VEXING PROBLEM THAT WE'VE HAD, BUT THESE ARE BASICALLY LOCAL PERMIT 17 ISSUES. AND THEY, THE LOCALS, MAY JUST BLOW ANY 18 OF 19 THIS OFF. JUST BECAUSE THE STATE HAS A COMMENT TO

MAKE DOESN'T MEAN THAT IT'S ACCEPTED AT THE LOCAL

21	LEVEL.
22	SO I DON'T THINK WE OUGHT TO HAVE
ANY	
23	ILLUSIONS THAT SOMEHOW A WORD FROM THE STATE IS A
24	WIN-WIN FOR EVERYBODY. THIS WILL BE AS RIGOROUSLY
25	DEBATED AT THE LOCAL LEVEL AS IT IS TODAY. SO 65

- 1 WITHIN THAT CONTEXT, IF THE MAKER OF THE MOTION 2 CAN --
- 3 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WHY DON'T I -- SINCE
- 4 THERE WAS NO SECOND TO THE FIRST MOTION, WHY DON'T
- 5 I JUST RESTATE MY MOTION AND SEE IF THAT IS
- 6 APPROVABLE.
- 7 I WILL MOVE THE ADOPTION OF
- 8 RESOLUTION 97-425, ADOPTION OF A POLICY TO SUPPORT
- 9 THE USE OF TIRES AS A FUEL SUPPLEMENT AND REQUEST
- 10 THAT STAFF INCLUDE A WHEREAS OR WHEREASES WHICH
- 11 OUTLINE THE CONCLUSION OF VARIOUS STUDIES WE
- 12 HAVE -- WE ARE BASING OUR SUPPORT ON.
- 13 MEMBER RELIS: UNFORTUNATELY, I CAN'T
- 14 SUPPORT THAT TILL I GET THE LANGUAGE, SO I WILL

TOM

- 15 BE SECONDING IT, BUT --
- 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. I'LL SECOND THAT
- 17 MOTION. I MEAN WE CAN DO IT EITHER WAY. ON --
- 18 JUST A COMMENT ON THE MOTION.
- ON ITEM NO. 2, THE DEVELOPMENT AND
- 20 PRODUCTION OF PUBLIC EDUCATION WORKSHOPS, WHICH WE
- 21 TALK ABOUT A STANDARDIZED WORKSHOP BE DEVELOPED, I
- 22 KNOW IF YOU GO BACK TO THE APRIL MEETING IN SAN
- 23 BERNARDINO, I HAD INDICATED IN THAT MOTION THAT

WAS

- 24 ACCEPTED BY THE BOARD NOT ONLY TO INCLUDE THE
- 25 PUBLIC WORKSHOP, BUT FOR US TO HAVE STAFF

AVAILABLE

- 1 AT THOSE HEARINGS AT THOSE TABLES, NOT TO SUPPORT
- THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECT, BUT TO SUPPORT THE POLICY.
- 3 AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE WORDS TO THAT EFFECT THAT
- 4 REFLECT WHAT WE HAD TALKED ABOUT AND THAT WAS
- 5 ACCEPTED BY THE BOARD. I THINK THE APPROPRIATE
- 6 PLACE TO DO IT IS IN ELEMENT 2, BUT --
- 7 MS. TRGOVCICH: THAT'S SOMETHING, MR.
- 8 CHAIR, THAT WE WOULD, AS STAFF, EXPECT TO DO ANY
- 9 TIME WE WOULD PRODUCE A DOCUMENT OR MAKE AVAILABLE
- 10 INFORMATION, THAT WE WOULD BACK THAT UP WITH OUR
- 11 PRESENCE AND OUR PARTICIPATION TO DESCRIBE THAT
- 12 INFORMATION, TO SUPPORT THE INFORMATION THAT WE'RE
- 13 MAKING AVAILABLE TO ASSIST IN A LOCAL DECISION-
- 14 MAKING PROCESS.
- WE CAN CERTAINLY ADD THAT IN ITEM NO.
- 2, AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD DO WHETHER WE
- 17 WERE TALKING ABOUT THE USE OF TDF OR WHETHER WE
- 18 WERE TALKING ABOUT A PARTICULAR CLEANUP ACTION,
- 19 WHETHER WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE NEWSPRINT PROGRAM
- OR RPPC, JUST TO GO TO A DIFFERENT CONTEXT THERE.
- 21 IT'S A STANDARD PRACTICE FOR US.
- 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: IT'S CONSISTENT AND
- 23 DOESN'T HAVE TO BE SPECIFICALLY NAMED BECAUSE I
- 24 THINK THAT -- YEAH, AS LONG AS THAT'S COVERED,
- 25 THAT'S FINE.

MS. TRGOVCICH: WE'LL CERTAINLY INCLUDE 1 2 IT, BUT I JUST DON'T WANT TO MAKE IT APPEAR AS IF THIS WOULD BE PRECEDENTIAL FOR US. 3 4 CHAIRMAN JONES: NO, IT ISN'T BECAUSE I KNOW I'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS ABOUT COMPOSTING 5 FACILITIES; AND WHEN THEY COME FORWARD, THEY SAY 6 7 ASPERGILLUS, AND WE SAY, YEAH, BUT IT'S HANDLED. THAT'S WHAT THIS BOARD NEEDS TO DO. 8 9 THEY NEED TO BE AT THESE PLACES THAT ARE TRYING, WHETHER IT'S TDF, COMPOSTING FACILITY, A PAPER 10 FACILITY, YOU KNOW, PROMOTING THE POLICY THAT IS 11 GERMANE TO THAT SECTION OF THE WASTESTREAM. 12 DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY OTHER 13 14 COMMENTS? I MEAN WE CAN BRING THIS FORWARD TO THEBOARD WITHOUT ANY RECOMMENDATION OR TWO TO ONE, 15 WHATEVER. I'D JUST SOON -- I'VE SECONDED THAT 16 MOTION. SO, JEANNINE, DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY 17 OTHER COMMENTS? OKAY. JEANNINE, YOU WANT TO CALL THE 18 19 ROLL. 20 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS. MEMBER RELIS: I'LL ABSTAIN. 21 22 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.

24	THE SECRE	TARY:	CHAIRMAN JONES.						
25	CHAIRMAN	JONES: 68	AYE.	OKAY.	THAT	WILL			

- 1 GET MOVED ON TO THE FULL BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION,
- 2 AND THEN, STAFF, YOU WILL -- OR CAREN AND MARTHA,
- 3 EXCUSE ME, YOU WILL BE ABLE TO INCLUDE THESE
- 4 LETTERS AND ANY OTHERS THAT ARE PROBABLY

SITTING IN

- 5 MY OFFICE ON THE FAX MACHINE OR YOUR OFFICE.
- 6 MS. TRGOVCICH: YOU WOULD LIKE THEM
- 7 INCLUDED IN THE ACTUAL BOARD ITEM.
- 8 CHAIRMAN JONES: JUST SO THAT THE

BOARD

- 9 MEMBERS HAVE THEM.
- 10 MS. TRGOVCICH: WE'LL CERTAINLY

INCLUDE

- 11 THOSE, WE WILL MAKE THE CHANGE IN THE ITEM AS
- IT --
- 12 IN TERMS OF ITEM NO. 4 PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED TO
- 13 REFLECT THE AMOUNT OF TDF USED AT THE 14

PERCENT

- 14 LEVEL INSTEAD OF 20. SO WE'LL MAKE THE ITEM
- 15 CHANGES, AND WE WILL REFLECT THE COMMITTEE

ACTION

16 TO DEVELOP ADDITIONAL WHEREASES, AND WE WILL

WORK

- 17 ON THAT WITH YOUR OFFICES IN THE COMING WEEKS.
- 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: ALL RIGHT.
- 19 ITEM NO. 3, CONSIDERATION OF
- 20 REALLOCATION OF \$200,000 FROM FISCAL YEAR
- '97-'98
- 21 CALIFORNIA TIRE RECYCLING FUND TO AUGMENT WASTE
- 22 TIRE LEVEE REPAIR DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, I

WILL TAKE

- 24 CARE OF THAT ITEM FOR YOU. YOU MAY BE SURPRISED TO
- 25 SEE IT ON YOUR AGENDA. LET ME JUST PUT IT INTO

1 CONTEXT FOR YOU. AT LAST MONTH'S MEETING THE COMMITTEE CONSIDERED OR THE BOARD CONSIDERED 2 3 WHETHER OR NOT TO APPROVE THE FEASIBILITY STUDY THAT WAS CONDUCTED BY CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY 4 CHICO AS IT RELATED TO THE USE OF TIRES IN A LEVEE 5 б CONSTRUCTION PROJECT. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THERE WAS A BENCH 7 8 SCALE TEST THAT WAS DONE AND A FEASIBILITY STUDY RESULTED FROM THAT. THE BOARD APPROVED THE 9 10 FEASIBILITY STUDY, WHICH MEANT THAT WE WERE ON TO THE NEXT PHASE OF THE PROJECT. LAST APRIL, WHEN 11 THE BOARD ADOPTED THE 1997-98 TIRE FUND ALLOCATION, 12 THE BOARD APPROVED SIMULTANEOUSLY \$409,000 TO BE 13 MADE AVAILABLE FOR A FULL-SCALE DEMONSTRATION IN 14 THE EVENT THAT THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WAS ACCEPTED 15 BY THE BOARD. 16 17 CHICO STATE CAME FORWARD AND SAID, 18 YOU KNOW, IT'S GOING TO COST A LITTLE MORE. FACT, IT'S GOING TO COST \$664,000 AND NOT 19 \$409,000. SO AT YOUR GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING LAST 20 MONTH, YOU MADE THE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO REDIRECT 21 FUNDS FROM AN ITEM CALLED "END USE PROCESSING 22 FACILITY EVALUATION" INTO THE LEVEE CONSTRUCTION 23

PROJECT TO MAKE \$200,000 MORE AVAILABLE.

- OTHER SPONSORSHIP OF THIS PROJECT TO PROVIDE THE
- 2 UNIVERSITY THEIR FULL REQUESTED AMOUNT OF \$664,000.
- 3 THAT WAS THE MOTION OF THE BOARD.
- 4 AT THAT TIME I INDICATED THAT WE
- 5 WOULD SEEK CLARIFICATION FROM OUR LEGAL OFFICE AS
- 6 TO WHETHER OR NOT THAT ITEM WAS NOTICED PROPERLY SO
- 7 THAT THE ALLOCATION, THE REDIRECTION OF FUNDS,
- 8 COULD, IN FACT, TAKE PLACE. IN ORDER TO BE SAFE,
- 9 WE PUT THIS ITEM BACK ON YOUR COMMITTEE AGENDA THIS
- 10 MONTH SO THAT IF THERE WAS PUBLIC TESTIMONY OR
- 11 ISSUES RAISED AROUND THE MOVEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS,
- 12 THAT YOU COULD HEAR THAT.
- SO WHAT THIS ITEM IS IS THE
- 14 FORMALIZATION OF THE ACTION LAST MONTH TO REDIRECT
- 15 THE FUNDS FROM THE END USE PROCESSING FACILITY
- 16 EVALUATION LINE ITEM FOR \$200,000 INTO THE TIRE
- 17 LEVEE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, WHICH WOULD BRING THAT
- 18 PROJECT TOTAL TO \$609,000.
- 19 AND I WILL CONCLUDE STAFF'S
- 20 PRESENTATION.
- 21 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, HAS
- 22 ANYBODY WANTED TO SPEAK ON THIS?
- 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: NO. I DON'T HAVE ANY

24 SLIPS.

25 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I WOULD MOVE ADOPTION 71

- OF RESOLUTION 97-437, REALLOCATING \$200,000 FROM
- 2 THE TIRE FUND.
- 3 MEMBER RELIS: I'LL SECOND.
- 4 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 6 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON.
- 7 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN JONES.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. ONE QUESTION.
- 10 CONSENT CALENDAR?
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: SURE.
- 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. HOW DID THE SCRAP
- 13 TIRE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL RESPOND WHEN WE ASKED THEM
- 14 TO HELP FUND THE REMAINING 60 SOME THOUSAND
- 15 DOLLARS?
- MS. GILDART: THEY SAID THAT THEY
- 17 THEMSELVES DO NOT HAVE FUNDS FOR THAT KIND OF
- 18 ACTIVITY. THEY DO NOT GENERALLY PUT MONEY INTO ANY
- 19 SORT OF RESEARCH DEMONSTRATION, WHATEVER. HOWEVER,
- 20 MIKE BLUMENTHAL DID SAY THAT IF WE WERE TO WRITE
- 21 HIM A LETTER FORMALLY REQUESTING SOME KIND OF
- 22 SUPPORT, HE COULD RUN IT BY THEIR INDIVIDUAL MEMBER
- 23 ORGANIZATIONS AND SEE IF ANY OF THEM MIGHT BE
- 24 WILLING TO PROVIDE.
- 25 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WOULD YOU DRAFT A

LETTER FOR MY SIGNATURE? 1 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: GREAT? ALL RIGHT. 3 MEMBER RELIS: FAX IT TO THEM. CHAIRMAN JONES: FOR THOSE OF YOU IN THE 4 5 AUDIENCE THAT DON'T KNOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, WE DID COME UP ABOUT 60 SOME THOUSAND DOLLARS SHORT 6 ON WHAT WE NEEDED, AND THE CHAIRMAN AND THE 7 BOARD -- WELL, THE CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED WE GO TO THE 8 SCRAP TIRE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL TO SEE IF THEY WANTED 9 TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS GOOD USE OF TIRES. AND WE 10 11 JUST GOT AN UPDATE ON HOW THAT WENT, AND NOW THE CHAIRMAN IS GOING TO SIGN A LETTER TO GET THE 12 MONEY. ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE --13 WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE 14 15 BREAK, AND WE WILL BE BACK AT 11:20. (RECESS TAKEN.) 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO 17 RECONVENE ON ITEM NO. 5, A STATUS REPORT ON THE 18 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DEREGULATION, AB 1890. 19 MS. GILDART: NEAL JOHNSON WILL BE 20 PRESENTING THIS ITEM. 21 22 MR. JOHNSON: THANK YOU. THIS IS -- TRY TO MAKE THIS A SHORT UPDATE OF WHERE WE ARE IN THE 23

- 24 PROCEEDING -- OUR PROCEEDINGS. I GUESS FIRST,
- 25 SENATE BILL 90 BY -- RON SHER'S BILL THAT WAS 73

INTRODUCED TO IMPLEMENT THE AB 1890 FUNDING FOR 1 2 RENEWABLES PASSED THE ASSEMBLY ON LATE FRIDAY AFTERNOON, PASSED OUT OF THE SENATE AROUND 3 3 4 O'CLOCK ON SATURDAY MORNING, AND IS NOW, I GUESS, ON THE GOVERNOR'S DESK TO BE SIGNED SOMETIME IN THE 5 NEXT MONTH. 6 7 SB 90 BASICALLY -- ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS WE TALKED ABOUT IT A MONTH AGO. AS I NOTE 8 9 IN THE WRITEUP, THE LANDFILL GAS RECOVERY SUPPORTERS COALITION WERE UNSUCCESSFUL IN GETTING 10 LANDFILL GAS MOVED BACK FROM TO TIER 1 IN -- THE 11 TIER I SUPPORT LEVEL FOR EXISTING RENEWABLES. 12 THEY'RE, UNFORTUNATELY, SORT OF MIRED IN THE THIRD 13 14 TIER. THE SB 90 ALSO DIRECTS THE CALIFORNIA 15 ENERGY COMMISSION TO ENGAGE IN SOME RESEARCH AND 16 DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES FOR EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES 17 18 AND AUTHORIZES THE COMMISSION TO IMPLEMENT A 19 STRATEGIC PLAN FOR RD&T. IF YOU SORT OF FLIP TO THE BACK SIDE OR BOTTOM OF THE SECOND PAGE, THE 20 COMMISSION IS ALSO -- THE COMMISSION, I MEAN THE 21 ENERGY COMMISSION HERE -- IS PRESENTLY LOOKING TO 22 FUND THE CONTINUATION OF WHAT WAS CALLED PUBLIC 23 24 INTEREST RD&T.

THOSE ARE THINGS THAT HAD

25

TRADITIONALLY BEEN FUNDED OUT OF THE UTILITY RATE 1 BASE, BUT UNDER DEREGULATION WILL NO LONGER BE SO, 2 3 AND SO THESE PROJECTS ARE ONES FUNDED THROUGH THE END OF '97. THE ENERGY COMMISSION IS SEEKING 4 5 COMMENTS FROM THE WORLD, SO TO SPEAK, THAT ARE DUE NEXT MONTH ON HOW THEY SHOULD PROCEED ON THIS. 6 7 THEY'RE PROPOSING A ONE-TIME FUNDING FOR THOSE PROJECTS WHO WOULD DIE IF THEY DIDN'T GET 8 SUPPORT, AND THEN THEY HAVE A NUMBER OF TECHNICAL 9 SELECTION QUESTIONS. AND WE WILL EVALUATE AND 10 11 PROBABLY SUBMIT SOME COMMENTS IN EARLY OCTOBER TO THE ENERGY COMMISSION. 12 THE LAST SEVERAL TIMES I'VE TALKED 13 14 ABOUT THREE BILLS THAT HAD ORIGINALLY BEEN INTRODUCED, AB 1513, WHICH PASSED THE ASSEMBLY BACK 15 IN FEBRUARY -- EARLY JUNE THAT WOULD HAVE 16 IMPLEMENTED AT THAT TIME A \$30 A TON INCOME TAX 17 CREDIT FOR AGRICULTURAL GROWERS WHO PRODUCE BIOMASS 18 FUEL. THAT BILL, UNFORTUNATELY, GOT REWORKED OR 19 SORT OF DESTROYED IN THE SENATE WHEN THE TAX CREDIT 20 PROVISIONS BECAUSE OF THE CONTROVERSY OVER THEM 21 22 CAUGHT UP. AND SO THAT'S NOW A TWO-YEAR BILL WITH A STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE INTENT TO DEVELOP SOME 23

	24	FINANCIAL	MECHANISM	THAT	WOULD	RETAIN	THEIR
--	----	-----------	-----------	------	-------	--------	-------

25 BENEFITS, BUT DIDN'T REALLY GIVE A CLUE AS TO WHAT. 75

1	SB 1216 BY COSTA WOULD HAVE CREATED A
2	CENT AND A HALF PER KILOWATT HOUR INCOME TAX CREDIT
3	TO BIOMASS POWER PLANTS. AND THAT WAS EXTREMELY
4	CONTROVERSIAL BECAUSE OF THE CONCEPT OF TRANSFER-
5	ABILITY OF TAX CREDITS, WHICH IS, FROM THE POINT OF
6	VIEW OF THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD, A NO-NO.
7	AND AGAIN, IT WAS AMENDED TO A
8	STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE ATTEMPT TO DO SOMETHING,
9	BUT SORT OF UNSPECIFIED WHAT IT WOULD BE.
10	THERE WAS ANOTHER BILL INTRODUCED BY
11	ASSEMBLYMAN WOODS, 1179, WHICH HAD DIRECTED THE
12	DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE DETECTION TO SUBMIT
13	A REPORT BY THE END OF THE '98 SESSION ON THE ROLE
14	OF BIOMASS POWER PLANTS AND FOREST MANAGEMENT,
15	WATERSHEDS, MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES, AND THAT, AGAIN,
16	IS ALSO A TWO-YEAR BILL.
17	THE BACK TO LITTLE OF WHERE THE
18	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, WHO IS THE
19	AGENCY FUNDAMENTALLY CHARGED WITH REGULATING THE
20	INDUSTRY AND ADVANCING AB 1890 AND THE WHOLE
21	DEREGULATION OR RESTRUCTURING PROCEEDING, THEY
22	RECENTLY THE COMMISSION APPROVED THE ISSUANCE OF
23	WHAT ARE CALLED RATE REDUCTION BONDS. THIS WAS A
24	CREATURE CREATED BY AB 1890 TO THEORETICALLY MAKE A
25	SMOOTH TRANSITION FROM THE DEREGULATED STATE TO THE

DEREGULATEED -- OR FROM THE REGULATED STATE TO THE 1 2 DEREGULATED STATE BY ESSENTIALLY ISSUING -- TAKING BOND PROCEEDS TO GENERATE UP-FRONT MONIES, AND THAT 3 4 WOULD BE THEN PAID BACK AT A LATER DATE. AND THAT WAS THE WAY TO FUND THE RATE REDUCTION FOR 5 RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS, WHICH WAS A -- ONE OF THE 6 7 REQUIREMENTS OF AB 1890. THE COMMISSION HAS APPROVED THE 8 ISSUANCE OF \$9 BILLION OF BONDS. THE INFRA-9 STRUCTURE BANK, WHICH HAS THE CHARGE TO ISSUE 10 THOSE, HAS YET TO DO SO. 11 THE -- STARTING IN JULY, THE PUBLIC 12 UTILITIES COMMISSION STARTED REGISTERING ELECTRIC 13 SERVICE PROVIDERS. ONE OF THE CONDITIONS OF 14 PLAYING IN THE GAME IS YOU HAVE TO REGISTER WITH 15 THE COMMISSION. RIGHT NOW THERE'S OVER A HUNDRED 16 PARTIES THAT HAVE ALREADY REGISTERED FROM SMALL 17 18 WATER DISTRICTS TO AFFILIATES OF UTILITIES 19 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY TO A VARIETY OF OTHER PROVIDERS. AND THAT LIST IS GROWING DAILY. 20 LAST -- ACTUALLY BOTH JULY AND 21 22 AUGUST, ONE OF THE THINGS I CONCENTRATED ON WAS THE RESTRUCTURING OF QUALIFYING FACILITY CONTRACTS, 23 WHICH ARE GENERALLY THE STANDARD OFFER CONTRACTS 24

THAT THE COMMISSION HAD DIRECTED THE UTILITIES TO

25

- 1 ENTER INTO OVER THE LAST 15 TO 20 YEARS, AND
- 2 EXPRESS SOME REAL CONCERN ABOUT WHAT MIGHT COME OUT
- 3 OF THAT PROCEEDING.
- 4 AS WE SPEAK TODAY, THE COMMISSION
- 5 STILL HAS YET TO RENDER A DECISION EITHER AT THE
- 6 ALJ LEVEL OR AT THE COMMISSION LEVEL. I WAS TOLD
- 7 BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SEVERAL WEEKS
- 8 AGO THAT THEY, BECAUSE OF OTHER THINGS THAT HAD
- 9 HIGHER PRIORITY, THAT THEY HADN'T SCHEDULED ANYONE
- 10 YET TO START WRITING THE DECISION. SO AS IT STANDS
- 11 NOW, WE'RE NOT EXPECTING ANYTHING BEFORE JANUARY.
- 12 WE STILL EXPECT HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY, IF THAT'S
- 13 OUITE THE RIGHT WORD, TO PROVIDE SOME COMMENTS ON
- 14 THE RECORD BEFORE A FINAL DECISION IS EVER RENDERED
- 15 BY THE COMMISSION, WHICH I WOULD EXPECT NOW BEFORE
- 16 NOVEMBER.
- 17 THE OTHER MAJOR THING THAT'S GOING ON
- 18 IS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A VARIETY OF RULES FOR THE
- 19 DIRECT ACCESS MARKET, BE IT THE METERING
- 20 REQUIREMENTS, BE IT RATE TARIFS, ETC., ETC. AND
- 21 THERE'S SUPPOSEDLY A BIG DECISION COMING OUT OF THE
- 22 COMMISSION SHORTLY ON THAT, AND THAT'S SORT OF
- 23 WHAT'S TIED UP THEIR DOCKET TIME.

24			N	MEAN	WHIL	E, V	VHILE	THIS	IS	GOING	GON,	ON
25	THE	OTHER	SIDE	OF	THE 7	_	NTRY,	THE	FED	ERAL	ENERO	ΞY

- 1 REGULATORY COMMISSION, FRC, HAS STILL YET TO RENDER
- 2 A DECISION ON THE REQUEST TO FORM THE INDEPENDENT
- 3 SYSTEM OPERATOR WHO WOULD RUN THE TRANSMISSION
- 4 SYSTEMS AND THE POWER EXCHANGE, WHICH IS THE MARKET
- 5 PRICING MECHANISM, BOTH OF WHICH ARE CORES TO THE
- 6 RESTRUCTURED MARKET.
- 7 DECISION EXPECTED SOMETIME THIS FALL,
- 8 BUT WHEN EXACTLY IS A LITTLE BIT OF DEBATE. AND I
- 9 THINK THAT COVERS THE -- WHAT I WANTED TO BRING YOU
- 10 UP TO DATE ON.
- 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: THANKS. DOES ANYBODY
- 12 HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 13 MEMBER PENNINGTON: VERY CLEAR. THANK
- 14 YOU.
- 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- 16 DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY OPEN DISCUSSION ITEMS? NO.
- 17 THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED. THANK YOU ALL VERY
- 18 MUCH.
- 19 (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT
- 20 11:30 A.M.)
- 21
- 22
- 23